

Report to Cabinet

Subject: Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)
Strategic Review

Date: 4th September 2025

Author: Community Infrastructure Levy and
Section 106 Monitoring Officer

Wards Affected

Borough-wide

Purpose

That Cabinet:

- a) Note the representations and comments received in relation to the CIL Strategic Review public consultation, and
- b) Approve the updated Infrastructure List to include suitable strategic infrastructure projects in accordance with the following Officer recommendations.

Key Decision

This is a Key Decision as it is likely to be significant in terms of its effect on the communities living or working in an area comprising of two or more wards in the Borough.

Recommendation(s)

THAT Cabinet approves

- 1) The addition of Project SIP5 - Carlton Leisure and Community Centre onto Gedling Borough Council's Infrastructure List for future funding through Strategic CIL receipts.
- 2) Projects SIP1, SIP2, SIP3 and SIP4 be held for future consideration as part of the Stage 2 full CIL Review to commence prior to the

adoption of the Gedling Local Development Plan.

- 3) Projects SIP6, SIP7, SIP8, SIP9, SIP10, SIP11 and SIP12 are not added to Gedling Borough Council's Infrastructure List.**
- 4) The existing Project Secondary School Contributions at Top Wighay Farm is retained on Gedling Borough Council's Infrastructure List.**
- 5) The existing Projects Gedling Access Road, Gedling County Park Visitors Centre, and Secondary School Contributions at Chase Farm / Gedling Colliery are removed from Gedling Borough Council's Infrastructure List.**
- 6) The publication of the revised Infrastructure List set out in Appendix 3 to the report.**

1 Policy Background

- 1.1 The Planning Act 2008 introduced the Community Infrastructure Levy ("CIL") as a tool for local planning authorities in England and Wales to help deliver infrastructure to support the development of their area. CIL came into force on 6th April 2010 through the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010.
- 1.2 Gedling Borough Council introduced CIL in October 2015. Following an independent examination in March 2015 and approval at full council on 15th July that year, the Gedling Borough Council Community Infrastructure Levy Charging Schedule was adopted on 16th October 2015.
- 1.3 On 1st September 2019, the Community Infrastructure Levy (Amendment) (England) (No. 2) Regulations 2019 were published which introduced a new requirement into the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 ("the CIL Regulations") for all Charging Authorities to publish an annual document, known as the "Infrastructure Funding Statement", before 31st December each calendar year.

- 1.4 Included within the annual Infrastructure Funding Statement is the 'Infrastructure List' which is a list of future infrastructure projects to be funded through 'Developer Contributions' such as Section 106 and the CIL. This Infrastructure List supersedes the 'Regulation 123 List' which was adopted as part of the Charging Schedule by Gedling Borough Council on 16th October 2015.
- 1.5 In accordance with the CIL Regulations (as amended), and in order to ensure transparency in the way that Gedling Borough Council reviews its Infrastructure List, the Council adopted the Planning Obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy Guidance Note in December 2016 which sets out the processes and due diligence the Council will undertake when reviewing its Infrastructure List.

2 Consultation

- 2.1 The CIL Regulations state that Charging Authorities, for the purposes of CIL, must use up to 80% of all 'CIL Receipts' collected to fund strategic infrastructure projects within the administrative boundary from which it was collected.

- 2.2 A motion was passed at Full Council on 17th April 2024 that *“This Council resolves to undertake a full review of the approach to Strategic and Neighbourhood Community Infrastructure Levy allocation following introduction of the updated regulations, to ensure it is expended effectively in local communities where development has or will take place and in consultation with those local communities and ward members and within the next year will commence a review of the current 123 infrastructure funding list and consult with communities and Councillors on appropriate infrastructure projects.”* Further to this Cabinet resolved to *“approve a 6-week consultation on the review of strategic projects set out in the Infrastructure List (formally Regulation 123 List)”* on 12th December 2024.
- 2.3 Gedling Borough Council commenced the 6-week consultation on 17th January 2025 and consulted with all relevant stakeholders who have registered an interest in the CIL, Elected Members, local developers, Parish Councils and local residents. A link to the consultation was also posted directly on both the Council’s ‘Have Your Say’ and ‘CIL’ webpages. The consultation was live for 6 weeks with the final deadline for representations to be submitted by 28th February 2025.
- 2.4 The consultation sought to understand the views of stakeholders and members of the public in relation to an initial list of potential strategic infrastructure projects which were identified by Officers using evidence from the emerging Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan and more specifically the draft Infrastructure Development Plan, along with several projects which were identified as potential Council priorities moving forwards.

2.5 A summary of the proposed strategic projects which were outlined within the CIL Strategic Infrastructure Project Review and Guidance Document are as follows:

- (SIP1) Active Travel Links
- (SIP2) Leapool Roundabout Park and Ride Service
- (SIP3) Blue / Green Infrastructure Improvement Works
- (SIP4) Ambition Arnold
- (SIP5) Carlton Leisure and Community Centre
- (SIP6) Gedling Country Park Heritage Centre

2.7 The consultation posed two questions to stakeholders and residents. The first question asked if the participant agreed with the projects identified for potential inclusion on the Council's Infrastructure List, whilst the second asked if there were any other Infrastructure Projects which the participant believed would be appropriate for inclusion on the Infrastructure List as a Strategic Project.

3 Consultation Responses

3.1 In total the Council received 89 responses to the consultation. These representations contained, in some instances, responses addressing individual projects, whilst others responding to proposed projects as a collective. A summary of the representations and the broad views expressed on each project; a comprehensive list of each of the representations received; and relevant Officer comments is attached in Appendix 1.

- 3.2 A large proportion of the representations received were either silent on the proposed projects outlined within the CIL Strategic Infrastructure Project Review and Guidance Document or neither confirmed support for, nor objected to the projects. A proportion of the representations received did not agree with the inclusion of SIP5 and SIP6 on the Infrastructure List. These objections were often accompanied with requests to use CIL receipts to fund new infrastructure projects within Calverton Village, rather than Carlton or Arnold. Several new projects which relate to infrastructure projects within Calverton have been submitted for consideration as part of the responses to the consultation, these projects and comments are addressed in full in Section 5 of this report.
- 3.3 Whilst full and proper regard has been paid to all representations received in response to the consultation, the projects suggested in the responses specifically relating to Calverton, are not considered to be strategic in nature and as such not appropriate for inclusion on the Infrastructure List as part of this review. A more appropriate source of funding for the localised projects suggested in the responses specifically relating to Calverton is the Neighbourhood portion of the CIL.
- 3.4 Other points which reoccurred throughout the consultation are summarised below, where appropriate these comments are addressed within the specific project assessment within Section 5 of the report:
- An increase in Active Travel and Blue Green Infrastructure is positive.
 - Leapool Roundabout has potential but further research regarding funding is required.
 - Carlton already has a functioning leisure centre.
 - Gedling County Park Heritage Centre would be nice but is not considered a necessity.
 - More funding should be spent in Bestwood Village.

- More funding should be spent in Calverton.
- Calverton Leisure Centre should be improved, and
- CIL receipts from Calverton should not be spent outside of Calverton.

3.5 As well as seeking stakeholder and the public views on the proposed strategic infrastructure projects, the consultation also asked if participants had any other projects in mind which could be funded through the strategic portion of the CIL. In total six additional projects were submitted. These were as follows:

- Mapperley Allstars Community HUB, Gedling;
- Connectivity between Papplewick Village and Mansfield Road (Disused Calverton Railway Line);
- Expansion of Calverton Village Centre Car Parking;
- New Cemetery at Hollinwood Lane, Calverton;
- Development of William Lee Park into a heritage and leisure centre; and
- Play Area Redevelopment a Manor Park Infant and Nursery School, Calverton.

3.6 The Council should also note that prior to the Strategic CIL review being commenced a request was received for two new defibrillators at either end of Calverton Village using Strategic CIL. The Council noted the project at the time the request was made, however, the provision of two defibrillators within a village would not constitute a strategic infrastructure project and as such would not be appropriate for funding through the strategic portion of CIL receipts. The Council is mindful that this project was no longer included on the list of projects nominated for Calverton that was submitted to the Council during the consultation period.

- 3.7 Before assessing the projects nominated, it is important to provide the context of the recent changes to the Council's role in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan and the impact on some of the potential strategic CIL projects as outlined below.

4 Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan and Gedling Local Development Plan

- 4.1 The Aligned Core Strategy which was adopted in September 2014, forms Part 1 of the Gedling Local Plan and sets out the strategic context for the Part 2 Local Planning Document which was adopted in 2018. Combined, these documents set out the strategic policy direction for future development in the Borough up to 2028.
- 4.2 There is a legal requirement for Local Authorities to review local plans every five years. Gedling Borough Council had, until recently, been preparing the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan (GNSP) in conjunction with Broxtowe Borough, Nottingham City and Rushcliffe Borough Councils. Several documents including the Growth Options (2020 and 2021), the Preferred Approach (2023) and the full Publication Draft plan (2024) had all been consulted on alongside the progression of further evidence base including a draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

- 4.3 Preparation of the GNSP had taken place in the context of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) which sets out the governments planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. On 12th December 2024 a revised NPPF was published which included several wide-ranging changes as well as a change to the way in which the number of homes that needed to be identified on non-strategic sites were to be calculated resulting in an increase compared to the numbers in the Publication Draft GNSP. In light of the changes set out by the new NPPF, the GNSP no longer complied with the national policy and as such a report was taken to Cabinet on 13th February 2025 where approval was given for the Council to withdraw from the preparation of the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan and commence the preparation of the Gedling Local Development Plan covering strategic and non-strategic matters. Full Council resolved to progress a Gedling Local Development Plan at its meeting on 5 March 2025.
- 4.4 As a result, the evidence base that was previously being progressed under the GNSP, including the draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan which is of specific importance to the Strategic CIL Review, will no longer be progressed. Instead, Gedling Borough Council has commenced work on drafting its own Infrastructure Delivery Plan to evidence and inform the preparation of the emerging Gedling Local Development Plan. This work cannot be completed until there is greater certainty around the location of proposed housing allocations.
- 4.5 A full review of the CIL Charging Schedule and Infrastructure List is proposed in advance of the adoption of the Gedling Local Development Plan which is anticipated in Spring 2028.

5 Project Assessment

5.1 There is no requirement set out in the CIL Regulations to tie the expenditure of any strategic CIL receipt to a particular location or development given that the funds will be used for strategic infrastructure which should be considered to provide a benefit to the Borough as a whole. Any projects put forward for consideration will be assessed technically for compliance with the CIL Regulations. Where projects clearly comply with the CIL Regulations they will be assessed in detail by the Council against several key criteria including;

- Can the project be linked to new development;
- Public benefits;
- Deliverability and sustainability;
- Value for money; and
- Match funding opportunities.

5.2 The CIL Strategic Project Evaluation Sheet attached to this report as Appendix 2 sets out the Officer assessment of each project based on the level of detail available at the time. Each criterion is given a weighted score with the highest weighted consideration being match funding opportunities to ensure that the Council obtain the greatest value for money from its CIL receipts. Each overall score is then ranked to show which projects should be considered potentially essential / most desirable to mitigate the impact of new development delivered in Gedling Borough.

5.3 All six new projects which were detailed within the CIL Strategic Infrastructure Project Review and Guidance Document and the six further projects which were nominated during the consultation by various stakeholders have all been evaluated by officers (see Appendix 2). The table below provides a ranking for each project bases upon its final score.

Project Name	Evaluation Score	Rank
SIP5 - Carlton Leisure and Community Centre	58.5	1st
SIP1 - Active Travel Links	51.5	2nd
SIP3 - Blue / Green Infrastructure Improvement Works	51.5	2nd
SIP4 - Ambition Arnold	48	4th
SIP2 - Leapool Roundabout Park and Ride Service	41	5th
SIP6 - Gedling Country Park Heritage Centre	41	5th
SIP7 - MAS Community CIC HUB	35.5	7th
SIP10 - New Cemetery at Hollinwood Lane	31.5	8th
SIP11 - Re-development of William Lee Park	31.5	8th
SIP12 - Manor Park Infant and Nursery School Play Area	31.5	8th
SIP8 - Connectivity Between Papplewick & Mansfield Road	30.5	11th
SIP9 - Expansion of Calverton Centre Car Parking	30.5	11th

Table 2. Strategic project ranking list.

- 5.4 The project SIP5 - Carlton Leisure and Community Centre scored the highest when assessed against the relevant criteria ranking 1st out of all of the projects assessed. The project is considered to be of high strategic value given the potential risk of loss of services to Gedling Borough Councils Leisure Services should no action be taken. The redevelopment and improvement of leisure facilities are considered an entirely appropriate use of the Strategic CIL, being in compliance with the CIL Regulations and being able to demonstrate strong public benefits to residents of the borough. It should be noted that whilst the project did score low on two of the criteria within the evaluation, this was considered acceptable given that further studies into the costings and sources of match funding are underway as part of the Councils Leisure & Wellbeing Transformation project. These studies will take a holistic look at the Council's future Leisure Strategy regarding all its leisure facilities across the Borough. The Council are mindful of the comments received in relation calls for funding for Calverton Leisure Centre, however, based on the current studies and evidence collected, it is considered imperative that Carlton Forum Leisure Centre receives investment to protect and enhance an existing asset which attracts significant footfall but is ranked high on the Council's Corporate Risk Register due to critical infrastructure issues. Calverton Leisure Centre as with all the Council's other Leisure Facilities will continue to be assessed as part of the Councils Leisure & Wellbeing Transformation project. Taking the above into consideration, the project is expected to be deliverable and is deemed essential to ensure the Council's leisure facilities can meet the need of an increasing population for the Borough. It is therefore recommended that this project be included on the Infrastructure List.
- 5.5 The projects SIP1 – Active Travel Links and SIP3 – Blue Green Infrastructure Improvement Works, ranked joint 2nd when evaluated against the relevant criteria. These projects scored highly in both being

able to demonstrate a link to development and public benefits given the boroughwide nature of the proposals, however, details in relation to funding for specific projects are presently unavailable. These projects were initially identified through the draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan which was progressed alongside the GNSP. In light of the changes to national planning policy, but nevertheless being mindful of the otherwise relatively high scores for these projects, it is recommended that while these projects should not yet be included on the Infrastructure List, they remain in consideration to be further reviewed during the Stage 2 full CIL Review in advance of the adoption of Gedling's Local Development Plan.

- 5.6 The project SIP4 - Ambition Arnold is a developing long-term vision for Arnold town centre. The project would deliver significant benefits to Arnold Town Centre within the Borough, improving existing leisure and cultural facilities and improving the public realm. It is considered that the Strategic CIL would be an appropriate source of funding given the widespread footfall that Arnold Town Centre receives, however, the project requires significant external funding which is yet to be secured. It is therefore proposed that this project should not yet be included on the Infrastructure List but instead be retained for now until further evidence and detail has been provided from the Stage 2 full CIL Review in advance of the adoption of Gedling's Local Development Plan.
- 5.7 SIP2 - Leapool Roundabout Park and Ride Service ranked joint 5th out of projects identified. Whilst scoring highly in public benefit there are significant funding barriers and Nottinghamshire County Council, a key stakeholder in their capacity as the Highways Authority have concluded that presently the project is not feasible. Notwithstanding this, the County Council continue to investigate potential sources of funding and continue to safeguard the land for a new Park and Ride on this site and the site is identified on the extant Gedling Local Planning Document policies map. As such, it is recommended that this project should not yet be included

on the Infrastructure List but be carried over to be considered during the Stage 2 full CIL Review which will commence in advance of the adoption of Gedling's Local Development Plan when further evidence may be available.

5.8 The project SIP6 - Gedling Country Park Heritage Centre whilst ranking highly in certain criterion, specifically links to development, it is not considered that sufficient evidence is available to demonstrate how this project meets a specific need created by that development. In addition, no feasibility work has commenced to explore whether the project is viable. Whilst the project would provide a new local attraction, further enhancing one of the Council's Green Flag parks, it is not considered that this project can be recommended for inclusion on the Infrastructure List based on the information available to date. It is therefore recommended that this project is not included on the Infrastructure List.

5.9 Projects SIP7, SIP9, SIP10, SIP11 and SIP12 were all submitted by various stakeholders as part of the Strategic CIL public consultation. Whilst each of the projects have their own individual merits in terms of public benefit, it is considered that due to the lack of strategic significance and the benefits to the Borough as a whole, that these projects would not be appropriate to be funded through the Strategic CIL. In addition to the Strategic CIL, Regulation 59A of the CIL Regulations places a duty on Charging Authorities to allocate at least 15% (up to a cap of £100 per existing council tax dwelling) of CIL receipts to spend on priorities that should be agreed with the local community in areas where development is taking place. This is known as the 'neighbourhood portion'. Where a Neighbourhood Plan has been adopted the 'neighbourhood portion' increases to 25% with no cap. Regulation 59A requires that where a Local Parish Council exists, the Charging Authority must pass the 'neighbourhood portion' of CIL receipts directly to the Local Parish Council for that area that any receipt has been collected in. Regulation

59C sets out that a local council must “*use CIL receipts passed to it in accordance with regulation 59A or 59B to support the development of the local council’s area, or any part of that area, by funding; (a) the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or (b) anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area*”. The Projects SIP7, SIP9, SIP10, SIP11 and SIP12 are all considered to meet a more localised need and, whilst there are clear public benefits to each of these projects, it is not evident how these address a strategic need for the Borough as a whole. It is therefore recommended that these projects would be more appropriately funded through the ‘neighbourhood portion’ of CIL rather than the Strategic receipts and the recommendation is that these projects are not included on the Infrastructure List.

- 5.10 The last remaining project which was submitted as a result of the Strategic CIL public consultation is SIP8 - Connectivity Between Papplewick & Mansfield Road. Having reviewed the project submission it is considered that this project is in fact intrinsically linked to the project SIP1 - Active Travel Links which looks to provide for enhancement and improvement works to travel links across the Borough. As previously identified above, project SIP1 is subject to further evidence detailing specific requirements and locations as to where these improvements are most required to meet the needs of new development. With this in mind it is recommended that this project be considered as part of the wider project SIP1 - Active Travel Links and reassessed during the Stage 2 full CIL Review in advance of the adoption of the Gedling Local Development Plan.

6 Current Infrastructure List Projects

6.1 The existing Infrastructure List (formerly Regulation 123 List) refers to four projects as listed below:

- 1) Gedling Access Road, Gedling Colliery,
- 2) Gedling County Park Visitors Centre,
- 3) Gedling Colliery / Chase Farm Secondary School Contributions,
and
- 4) Top Wighay Farm Secondary School Contributions.

Of these projects, the Gedling Access Road (GAR) and Visitors Centre have now been delivered, with the GAR being partly funded and the Visitors Centre (Café) being entirely funded from CIL receipts.

6.2 The project in relation to Secondary School Contributions at Gedling Colliery / Chase Farm is recommended to be removed from the Infrastructure List. Whilst this project has not been delivered, Nottinghamshire County Council, in its capacity as the Education Authority, have confirmed that this project is no longer necessary following completion of a Deed of Variation modifying the relevant Section 106 Agreement dated 3rd March 2017. The effect of the modification is that the previously defined 'Primary School Contribution' was repurposed for a 'Secondary School and Pedestrian Crossing Contribution', and as such the County Council are content with the removal of the Secondary School Contributions at Gedling Colliery / Chase Farm project from the Infrastructure List.

- 6.3 Finally, the project in relation to Secondary School Contributions at Top Wighay Farm remains extant as the development is yet to be commenced and no other sources of funding to deliver the project have been identified at this time. Nottinghamshire County Council have confirmed their support for the retention of this project the Infrastructure List. Once commenced the development at Top Wighay Farm will generate significant CIL receipts. The Strategic portion of these receipts will be used to cover the funding gap for Secondary School Contributions at Top Wighay Farm enabling other Strategic receipts collected throughout the Borough to deliver other Strategically important infrastructure included on the Infrastructure List, such as the development of Carlton Leisure and Community Centre as proposed.

7 Summary

- 7.1 To conclude, of the projects currently on the Infrastructure List it is proposed that the following projects are now removed as they are no longer required: Gedling Access Road, Gedling County Park Visitors Centre, and Secondary School Contributions at Chase Farm. It is advised that the Secondary School Contributions at Top Wighay Farm is retained on the Infrastructure List as this project has not yet been developed and no alternative sources of funding have been identified.
- 7.2 Of the projects that were included in the CIL Strategic Infrastructure Project Review and Guidance Document, and those submitted in response to the Strategic CIL Review public consultation, it is considered that Project SIP5 - Carlton Leisure and Community Centre is most suitable for inclusion on the Infrastructure List given its link to a strategic need to meet the demands of development within the Borough. The project is envisaged to be deliverable and is a strategic priority for the Council moving forward to provide leisure facilities to the Borough's

residents.

- 7.3 Projects SIP1 - Active Travel Links (and by associated SIP8 - Connectivity Between Papplewick & Mansfield Road), SIP2 - Leapool Roundabout Park and Ride Service, SIP3 - Blue / Green Infrastructure Improvement Works and SIP4 – Ambition Arnold are all considered to meet the requirements of the CIL Regulations, however, given Gedling Borough Council's withdrawal from the GNSP it is considered appropriate to pause the inclusion of any of these projects until such a time as a more comprehensive evidence base has been collected through the Council's progression of its own Local Development Plan and any associated studies.
- 7.4 Projects SIP6 - Gedling Country Park Heritage Centre, SIP7 - MAS Community CIC HUB, SIP9 - Expansion of Calverton Centre Car Parking, SIP10 - New Cemetery at Hollinwood Lane, SIP11 - Re-development of William Lee Park and SIP12 - Manon Park Infant and Nursery School Play Area are all considered positive projects which each have merit in relation the public benefits they provide. Notwithstanding the above, it is considered that these projects (excluding SIP6) do not meet a strategic need within the borough and are more appropriately suited to funding through the Neighbourhood Portion of the CIL. Officers will liaise with the relevant stakeholders to advise how best to progress these projects outside of the Strategic CIL review. With regards to SIP6 whilst it could be considered to meet a strategic need given its association with the borough's flagship green space, there is insufficient evidence to progress with this project at this time.

8 Recommendations

8.1 Taking into account all representations received during the Strategic CIL Review Consultation, and having carried out an evaluation of the submitted infrastructure projects, the following recommendations are made:

- 1) The addition of Project SIP5 - Carlton Leisure and Community Centre onto Gedling Borough Councils Infrastructure List for future funding through Strategic CIL receipts.
- 2) Projects SIP1, SIP2, SIP3 and SIP4 be held for future consideration as part of the Stage 2 full CIL Review in advance of the adoption of Gedling's Local Development Plan.
- 3) Projects SIP6, SIP7, SIP8, SIP9, SIP10, SIP11 and SIP12 are not added to Gedling Borough Council's Infrastructure List.
- 4) The existing project: Secondary School Contributions at Top Wighay Farm, is retained on Gedling Borough Council's Infrastructure List.
- 5) The existing projects: Gedling Access Road, Gedling County Park Visitors Centre, and Secondary School Contributions at Chase Farm / Gedling Colliery, are removed from Gedling Borough Council's Infrastructure List.
- 6) The publication of the revised Infrastructure List set out in Appendix 3 to the report.

9 Alternative Options

9.1 Cabinet could not approve recommendations as set out in Section 8 of this report and could look to determine future strategic projects for inclusion on the Infrastructure List without further dialogue with relevant

stakeholders, however, this could result in significant lost opportunity and would not be in compliance with the Planning Obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy Guidance Note 2016 which sets out the Council's approach to reviewing the Infrastructure List and could result in insufficient Infrastructure Projects being available for the Council to fund through its collection of Strategic CIL Receipts.

- 9.2 Cabinet could pause the review until the Infrastructure Delivery Plan for the Gedling Local Development Plan has been completed which will allow a full review of the Gedling CIL to be undertaken, however, this could result in significant delays and a lack of approved strategic projects for the Council to fund using the strategic CIL in the interim period.

10 Financial Implications

- 10.1 Failure to ensure that a suitable roster of Strategic projects is identified and included within the Infrastructure List could mean that the Council are unable to expend its Strategic portion of the CIL.
- 10.2 This report does not alter the amount of money that can be collected from developments through the use of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) or Section 106 Planning Obligations.

11 Legal Implications

- 11.1 Charging authorities that have adopted the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) must operate in accordance with the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended). These regulations govern the lawful use of CIL receipts and as such the Council must ensure that all decisions regarding CIL are legally compliant. The Council also has a duty to conscientiously consider consultation responses before a decision is made ensuring that due regard is paid to the representations made.

12 Equalities Implications

- 12.1 The Strategic CIL Review has been subject to a 6-week consultation with a wide range of stakeholders and members of the public. All representations received in response have been reported and considered.
- 12.2 An Equality Impact Assessment has been completed in respect of this proposal, attached at Appendix 4.

13 Carbon Reduction/Environmental Sustainability Implications

- 13.1 A Climate Impact Assessment has been completed in respect of this proposal, attached at Appendix 5.

14 Appendices

- 14.1 Appendix 1: Strategic CIL Review Consultation Responses
- 14.2 Appendix 2: CIL Strategic Project Evaluation Sheet
- 14.3 Appendix 3: Revised Gedling Borough Council Infrastructure List
- 14.4 Appendix 4: Equality Impact Assessment Community Infrastructure Levy Strategic Review
- 14.5 Appendix 5: Climate Impact Assessment Community Infrastructure Levy Strategic Review

15 Background Papers

- 15.1 Gedling Borough Council CIL Charging Schedule -
[http://www.gedling.gov.uk/media/Charging%20Schedule%20\(Adoption%20July%202015\).pdf](http://www.gedling.gov.uk/media/Charging%20Schedule%20(Adoption%20July%202015).pdf)
- 15.2 Planning Obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy Guidance Note 2016

16 Reasons for Recommendations

- 16.1 To comply with the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended) and ensure that a suitable selection of Strategic Infrastructure projects are identified and set out within the Council's Infrastructure List.

Statutory Officer approval

Approved by:

Date:

On behalf of the Chief Financial Officer

Approved by:

Date:

On behalf of the Monitoring Officer