

## Appendix 1. Consultation and Officer Responses to the CIL Non-Parish Neighbourhood Funding Consultation Jan/Feb 2023

No.	Organisation	Comments	Officer Response
1.	Sport England	Both projects appear sensible and provide opportunities for increased activity and the opportunity to connect communities.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
2.	Member of Public	Yes, both are worthy additions to community facilities in this area, but the renovation of Gedling Station to once again provide community facilities should be prioritised.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
3.	Gedling Youth & Community Hub	I fully support the project being recommended for Non-Parish Areas of Gedling. This project will bring a new lease of life to a building rich in heritage & well loved by local residents.  A community hub in this location is much needed. The officer's report of LIS0002 is comprehensive & is "suitable of CIL Non-Parish Neighbourhood funding".	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
4.	Member of Public	Agrees with recommendations for nominated projects.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
5.	Member of Public	Yes, It will help with further funding to complete the project. It will be wonderful to have a building available in the area for people to meet and hire rooms for various reasons as well as having a cafe available for the heritage way, when it opens, encouraging people to walk/cycle around the area.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
6.	Member of Public	Would like to cafe or community centre when the path opens up properly.	<b>Comments noted.</b>

7.	Member of Public	I only support the project put forward by the Gedling youth and community hub at the old Gedling station, it has a lot of history and heritage with enthusiastic volunteers working hard and is a valuable community asset which will be available for young people and many local community groups.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
8.	Natural England	No comments.	<b>Noted.</b>
9.	Member of Public	Yes I agree with the project recommended. It's a community led project.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
10.	Historic England	Yes. Historic England welcomes the opportunity to repurpose the former railway station, a non-designated heritage asset, for community use and wellbeing. It is really positive to understand the matched funding conversations too.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
11.	Member of Public	I support the inclusion of the old Gedling Train station in the funding bid. This building has the potential to become a highly valued and utilised community hub for the people in Gedling.  It has been out of use for quite some time and is in need of renovation in order to prevent further deterioration. The building is also a link to Gedling's past and can also be part of Gedling's future. Investment from the funding bid will bring forward its potential for use.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
12.	Willow Farm Action Group	We note that 2 projects have been formally assessed and that a recommendation has been made by the Borough Council that only one of the projects should be shortlisted for funding at this time. We support that decision for the reasons stated in the report.  The total cost of the project is projected to be £250,000, of which the CIL fund is to provide £75,000. We note that the National Heritage Lottery formal bid is 'expected to be submitted by the end of the year (2022) with confirmation of outcome at the start of 2023'. Has that bid been submitted	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>

		<p>and has there been a result? We would not support the allocation of the £75,000 CIL grant without confirmation of a successful bid to the National Heritage Lottery Fund. We would not support the allocation of the £75,000 CIL grant without confirmation of a successful bid to the National Lottery Heritage Fund.</p> <p>Our only concern is connected to the long-term financial viability of the Gedling Youth and Community Hub and ensuring that it remains financially independent from the Borough Council and the rate payer.</p>	
13.	Member of Public	Agrees with recommendations for nominated projects.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
14.	Member of Public	Agrees with recommendations for nominated projects.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
15.	Member of Public	Yes all Projects should be considered given they will improve the community.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
16.	Member of Public	I agree with this excellent project idea.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
17.	Member of Public	<p>LIS0001- Cinder Path Extension (Netherfield)</p> <p>I would agree with the comments made by the Council's CIL Officer in the Project Assessment Document that this scheme should not be funded by CIL funding. Although it is a worthwhile scheme the purpose of CIL funding should be to fund infrastructure projects that would primarily benefit the developments that are funding the CIL. As per the CIL Non-Parish Portion Receipt schedule the CIL sums obtained from within Netherfield Ward (as at 30th September 2022) amount to only £7,260 which is clearly much less than the £200,000 funding that has been requested. Its location is also a</p>	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>

		<p>significant distance from the Chase Farm Development which is the main local source of CIL in this area and so its use by residents from the Chase Farm Development would be no greater than any other area within Carlton/Gedling. This does not mean that the Cinder Path Extension scheme is not a worthwhile project to undertake in its own right, but it does mean that CIL funding is an inappropriate source of funding.</p> <p>LIS0002- Gedling Youth &amp; Community Hub Regeneration Project</p> <p>I would agree with the comments made by the Council's CIL Officer in the Project Assessment Document that this scheme should be funded by CIL funding. This project falls within Gedling Ward which has accrued £354,891 in CIL receipts (as per the CIL Non-Parish Portion Receipt schedule as at 30th September 2022.) It is also close by to the non-parished section of Trent Valley Ward which has accrued £65,722 in CIL receipts. The project is also in close proximity to the Chase Farm Development which has generated most of the CIL receipts locally. The project will help with the ultimate aim of establishing the Heritage Way cycle/walkway which in itself would connect close by to the Chase Farm Development via the old disused mineral railway line. I would therefore strongly support this scheme for CIL funding.</p>	
18.	Member of Public	Agrees with recommendations for nominated projects.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>
19.	Member of Public	<p>LIS0002- Gedling Youth &amp; Community Hub Regeneration Project</p> <p>I agree with the comments made by the Council's CIL Officer in the Project Assessment Document that this scheme should be funded by CIL funding. The project is also in close to the Chase Farm Development which has generated most of the CIL receipts locally. This project would benefit the communities of Chase Farm, Gedling, Netherfield and Carlton.</p>	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>

20.	Environment Agency	No comments.	<b>Noted.</b>
21.	Member of Public	Agrees with recommendations for nominated projects.	<b>Comments and Support noted.</b>

**Period of Consultation: 16th January 2023 to the 13th February 2023**

**Total Respondents: 21**