	Comments	Respondent	GBC Response
		Mrs Y Jones,	
	Ravenshead Parish Council fully supports the document and	Ravenshead	
Yes	looks forward to being updated on developments.	Parish Council	Noted
	The SPD does not sufficiently differentiate between types of		
	housing, focusing only on type of dwelling proposed (house or		
	flat), size of dwelling and location of dwelling. This is too		
	simplistic as it does not consider the different levels of car		
	ownership for other, more specialist, forms of housing and as		
	such does not provide appropriate parking requirements. For		
	many residents the decision to move into sheltered housing		
	often represents a lifestyle change, which may includes the decision to give up the car. As such, car ownership levels for		
	this type of housing are significantly lower than in open market		
	housing and correspondingly a lower level of parking provision is		
	required. Request that GBC provide a bespoke parking		
	requirement for sheltered housing that more accurately reflects		
	the needs of it's residents. Specialised housing for the elderly is		
	invariably located within reasonable walking distance of shops		
	and other essential services, close to public transport facilities		
	and is supplemented by the provision of an internal battery car	McCarthy &	The SPD applies to parking provision for new residential
	store, suitable for the intended residents, and providing access	Stone,	development. Specialist forms of housing would fall
	to alternative forms of transport.	Retirement	under Class C2 (residential institutions) and do not fall
Comment	· ·	Lifestyles Ltd.	within the scope of this SPD.
	No comment to make due to the specific nature of this		
Comment	document.	Natural England	Noted

	,		
No	You have failed to take into account that due to the economic situation many young people can not afford to leave home and get a place of there own. So many houses with grown up children have a car for each of the children plus the adults so you proberbly need three or four spaces. Also you have failed to provide adiquate parking at Hucknall Tram station now, when all the new houses are occupied it will be bedlam there, you need to get your figure out now and provide more spaces.		The SPD is an evidence based document based on current available census data. As explained in section 3 of the SPD, the TEMPRO model has been used to predict future levels of car ownership, taking into account population forecasts, household formation, employment factors and long term changes in the economic climate in order to forecast future levels of car ownership. Hucknall Tram station falls within the Ashfield District Council area and not within Gedling Borough.
Yes, with	Diversion works on our electrical distribution network may be	Western Power	
reservations		Distribution	Noted
	No comment to make due to the specific nature of this		
Comment	document.	Sport England	Noted
		Bestwood St	
		Albans Parish	
Comment	No comment to make.	Council	Noted
	No comment to make due to the specific nature of this		
Comment	document.	Theatres Trust	Noted
	No comment to make apart from to welcome the reference to		
Comment	this historic environment in paragraph 5.7.	English Heritage	Noted
	The Highway Authority is satisfied with the contents and		
	methodology of the document. With regards to contacts for	Nottinghamshire	
	correspondence, the appropriate people are likely to be Mr Chris	-	
	Charnley (Head of Service for Highway Management) and Mr	South Area	Noted - appendix A of the SPD will be amended
Comment	Eamonn Harrison (Highway Manager South)	Office	accordingly

	· • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Development Control, Gedling	Accept - the SPD will be amended by adding a new paragraph to Section 6 (Conclusions) to read 'For non-residential uses, the appropriate maximum parking standards at the time of writing are those set out in the 6C's Design Guide which cross refers to Part 4 of the document 'Highway Requirements for Development' (HRfD) (a Leicestershire County Council design standard) http://www.leics.gov.uk/index/htd/highway_req_development archive.htm
	, ,	Development	
	allocated spaces (for 3 bedrooms) and 0 and 1 allocated spaces		Accept - the SPD will be amended by adding appropriate
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		references to table 4.2.
		Development	Accept - additional text will be added after paragraph 4.4
	It would be useful if the document clarified the position with	Control, Gedling	to clarify that the SPD should apply to extensions where
Comment	regards to extensions.	Borough Council	practicable.