| Lead Officers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Title | Name |
| BSM | Business Support Manager | Caroline McKenzie |
| AHMN | Area Housing Manager North | Allison Bennett |
| AHMS | Area Housing Manager South | Jacquie Beacroft |
| BCM | Building Control Manager | Dave Ewing |
| BM | Benefits Manager | Steve Yallop |
| FM | Facilities Manager | Steve Wiseman |
| COM | Communications Manager | Rose Lucas |
| CPM | Community Partnerships Manager | David Jayne |
| DCM | Development Control Manager | Nick Morley |
| E\&VM | Estates And Valuation Manager | Vacant |
| EPM | Environmental Protection Manager | Andy Callingham |
| FRM | Fleet And Refuse Manager | Richard Greenhaigh |
| FLHM | Food, Licencing, Health\&Safety Manager | Stephen Nickolls |
| FSM | Financial Services Manager | Alison Ball |
| HBO | Housing Benefits Officer | Viv Butler |
| HBSO | Housing Benefit Support Officer | Paul Whitworth |
| HCO | Head Of Cabinet Office | Stephen Bray |
| HHS | Head of Housing Service | Lymn Clayton |
| HLS | Head of Leisure Services | Keith Tansley |
| SEO | Strategy and Enabling Officer | Anne Tomanek |
| HOF | Head Of Finance | Mark Kimberley |
| HOLDS | Head Of Legal And Democratic Services | Sue Sale |
| HOPOD | Head Of Personnel And Organisational Development | Janet Brothwell |
| HPE | Head Of Planning And Environment | Peter Baguley |
| LFAO | Leisure Finance And Administration Officer | Clare Spencer |
| LFO | Leisure Facilities Officer | Jayne Cox |
| PPM | Planning Policy Manager | Alison Gibson |
| LRO | Leisure Resources Officer | Andy Bowers |
| PA | Principal Accountant (Treasury) | Sue Healey |
| PAC | Principal Accountant (Capital) | Nicola Gascoigne |
| PAFP | Principal Accountant (Financial Planning) | Roger Downing |
| PAO | Democratic Services Manager | David Graham |
| PSCM | Parks and Street Care Manager | Phil Holliand |
| IITP MGR | IIT Projects Manager | Mark Lane |
| ITT MGR | IT Technical Manager | Gary Bennett |
| PM | Personnel Manager | David Archer |
| PMM | Property Maintenance Manager | Diane Grattage |
| RM | Revenues Manager | John Vickers |
| RSM | Resource Services Manager | Vince Rimmington |
| SAO | Safety Officer | Barry Saunders |
| SCO | Scrutiny Officer | Tracy Lack |
| SS | Senior Solicitor | Helen Dolby |
| TCM | Town Centre Manager | Mark Armstrong |

Improvement Tasks

| TASK | Port folio | Lead Officer | OUTCOME IOUTPUT | TARGET | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STATUS } \\ & \text { AT } \\ & 31.03 .07 \end{aligned}$ | COMIMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preparation for the forthcoming statutory duties to promote disability (December 2006) and gender equality (April 2007) | LDR | HoPOD | Ensuring equality of access to services | 31st March 2007 Revised to July 2007 (for gender equality only - (disability completed) (Cabinet Feb 07) | G | Disability Equality Scheme agreed and published February 07. Gender Equality Scheme in draft |
| Establish a process by which the Council can learn and share successes and failures for future improvements | LDR | HOPOD | Improved services, culture of learning and improvement, improved corporate working | March 05 - Revised to Oct 05 (Cabinet Jul 05) Further revised to July 06 (Cabinet Feb 06) | G | Completed |
| Achievement of level 2 of the national equality standards | LDR | HoPOD | Ensuring equality of access to services and employment to meet statutory duties | 31st March 2007 | G | Completed |
| Manage GBC contribution to Nottinghamshire Local Area Agreement, ensuring links made to Community Strategy, Gedling Partnership and GBC performance management | LDR | HOPE |  | Ongoing | G | Blocks led by HOPE to be moved to Economic Development strand. |
| Identify and lead development of opportunities to raise the profile of the Borough Council | LDR | HCO | Improved profile for the Borough Council amongst opinion formers and in the local community |  | G | - |

Improvement Tasks


| Leader Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TASK | Port tolio | lead Officer | OUTCOMEIOUTPUT | STYTARGEA | STATUS $\begin{aligned} & \text { HATIF } \\ & 3103: 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ Commentis |
| Co-ordinate the Council's approach to Comprehensive Performance Assessment, to include lead role on Direction of Travel, Value-for-Money self- assessment and Use of Resources inspection (plus any issues arising from pilot status) | LDR | HCO | Fair assessment of the Council's performance, based on high quality, accurate evidence submitted | VFM self Assessment July/August 2006 Dot - to be confirmed UoR inspection - Nov 06 | G | Positive direction of travel reported in Audit and Inspection Letter and level 3 secured for Use of Resources, including improved scores in a number of sub-categories. Bid for corporate reassessment to be submitted in May 2007. |
| Produce publication setting out A-Z of services in line with good practice set out in LGAIDeA "Reputation" programme. | LDR | CM | Contribute to residents feeiing more informed about the Council and its work | Complete and distribute by Sept 06 | G | Completed |
| Update the Strategic Corporate Plan, incorporating Best Value requirements, to roll forward to 2009 | LDR | HCO | Updated, focused, practical statement of Mission, Aims and Priorities and how they will be delivered. Demonstrable focus on what matters. | End June 2006 | G | Completed |
| Analysis of the requirements of the Electoral Administration Bill and formulation of an action plan for implementation | LDR | DSM | Ensure legislative objectives are achieved | Jan-07 | G |  |
| Review departmental pro forma correspondence re equalities issues | LDR | HOLADS | Ensure that equalities issues are addressed departmentally | Mar-07 | G |  |

Improvement Tasks

| Leader Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TASK | Port follo | Lead officer | OUTCOMEIOUTRUT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STATUS, } \\ & 31 \text { AT, } 03.07 . \end{aligned}$ | COMMENTS |
| Design and implement training programme covering the Council's Information, Surveiliance and Human Rights obligations | LDR | SS | An informed service provision ensuring individual rights are respected | Mar-07 | G |  |
| Co-ordinate responses to anticipated new legislation, expected to include Local Government Act and Anti-Social Behaviour Act | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LDR/AG2 } \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | HCO | Council views on proposals heard in consultation. Council well prepared to deliver any new responsibilities | TBC | G |  |
| Commission and manage implementation of triennial BVPI Satisfaction Survey | LDR | HCO | Clear understanding of resident and customer perceptions in priority areas to inform future improvement planning, feeding into community profiles | Autumn 2006 | G | Completed on schedule and results presented to Cabinet March 07. |
| Review Base Maintenance budget as part of Corporate budget process | LDR | NG | Replacement and maintenance schedule for all equipment and buildings linked to AMP's | 01/12/2006 Postponed pending review of Council priorities (Cabinet Feb 07) | G |  |
| Achievement of liP re-accreditation | LDR | HoPOD | Continual improvements in people management practice leading to improvemenis in performance | 30 Jine 2006 - Revised to 30 November 2006 (Cabinet - Aug 06) | G | Assessment completed February 07. Level 2 of the Profile standard achieved |


| Non-Executive Areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TASK | Port follo | Lead officer | ourcomeloutpet | TARGEI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STATGUS } \\ & \text { BXATM,07 } \end{aligned}$ | COMMENTS |
| Development of arrangements to administer the Gambling Act 2005 | NEX | FLHM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Production of a Licensing } \\ & \text { (Gambling) Policy, administration } \\ & \text { arrangements and trained staff } \end{aligned}$ | Dec-06 | G | Policy produced and adopted by Council, administration in place and staff trained. |
| Enforcement arrangements for the Licensing Act 2003 | NEX | FLHM | Development of an agreed Licensing Enforcement Protocol with the local Police and the provision of the necessary enforcement resources | May 06. Revised to Dec 06 (Cabinet 2/11/06) | G | Task completed |


| Leader Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peiformance lafifator |  | Poltolio | Beat: Sectiont | lead Officer | Actual 2045006 | Farget 200607 |  | Status at $313: 07$ | COMMENTS | Farget 2007108 | Famet 20081109 | Target 209910 |
| The amount spent by the loca authority on advice and Guidance services provided by external organisations. | BV 226a | LDR | FIN |  | £ 38,800 | £38,800 | £38,700 | R |  | £38,800 | £38,800 | £38,000 |
| The quality of an Authorities Race Equality Scheme (RES) and the improvement resulting from its application. | BV 002b | LDR | POD | PM | 84\% | 100\% | 89\% | R | Futher progress made this year. Gaps relate to improvements in satisfaction levels for minority groups | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| The number of working days/shifts per employee lost due to sickness absence | BV012 | LDR | POD | PM | 10.87 | 9.50 | 10.16 | $R$ | Reduced from last year but not achieved target. Further changes to scheme made at end of year. Recommended adjustment of future targets | Current <br> Target 8.9 days <br> Proposed <br> Target <br> 9.6days | Current <br> Target <br> 8.8 days <br> Proposed <br> Target <br> 9.1 days | 9\% |
| Percentage of local residents who feel they are well informed about the Council and its work | Local 001 | LDR | CAB | HCO | 70\% | 70\% | 54\% | A | Question format different from previous years survey so not directly comparable. Early comparisons show performance is good compared with other Notts councils. 2009/10 target set on basis of the statutory question - interim targets may need to be reviewed if national question format is adopted for these years. Recommended adjustment of future targets | Climent <br> target <br> $72 \%$ <br> Priposed <br> Target <br> $56 \%$ <br> WTET, 4 <br> W) | Curent <br> Tanget <br> $725 \%$ <br> Poposed <br> Target $58 \%$ | 60\% |


| Leader Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance indicator | Ref | Pontollo | Bept! Section | Lead Officer | Actual 2905106 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Targel } \\ & 2006607 \end{aligned}$ | Progress A 310307 | Statis at 313:07 | comments | Target 2007108 |  | Target $2009110$ |
| The percentage of citizens satisfied with the overall service provided by the authority | BV 003 | LDR | CAB |  | 67\% | 68\% | 65\% | G | Final result slightity below target but within margin of error (confidence interval $=+/$ $3 \%$ ). Comparative figures show this is second best in Nottinghamshire and likely to be in upper quartile. | 68.5\% | 69.0\% | 69.50\% |
| The percentage of citizens satisfied with the overall service provided by the authority | BV 003 | LDR | CAB |  | 67\% | 68\% | 65\% | G | Final result slightly below target but within margin of error (confidence interval $=+$ $3 \%$ ). Comparative figures show this is second best in Nottinghamshire and likely to be in upper quarile. | 68.5\% | 69.0\% | 69.50\% |
| The percentage of those person making complaints who are satisfied with the handling of those complaints. | BV004 | LDR | CAB |  |  | 37\% | 34\% | G | Final result slightly below target but within margin of error (confidence interval $=+$ /$6 \%$ ). |  |  | 37.50\% |
| Percentage of Borough Council Employees who feel they are well informed about the Council and its work | Local 002 | LDR | CAB | HCO | 71\% | tbc | Survey due Summer 07 | G |  | 75\% | not collected | 77\% |


| Leader Portifolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pertomancelindicater | Rel | Pattol | $40 .$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lemad } \\ \text { Loftreet } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { affial } \\ & \text { 2050 } \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\right\|_{200607}{ }_{2}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Status at } \\ & 313.07 \end{aligned}$ | comments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Taggef } \\ & \hline 200970 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & \text { 2apge9 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| advice and guidance give to organisations holding the CLS Quality mark above | sv286 | Lor | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FAN}, \\ & \mathrm{CAB} \end{aligned}$ |  | 100\% | 100\% | 00\% | ${ }^{6}$ |  | 100.00\% | 00.00\% | 00.00\% |
| Tofal amount spent on advice and guidance in the area of housing, welfare benefits and consumer matier which authority to the public. | 2260 | LOR |  |  | 2272,622 | 2880,000 | ${ }^{2290,588}$ |  | Recommended adjustment of future targets | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curient } \\ & \text { zaget } \\ & \text { Propogosod } \\ & \text { target } \\ & \text { E335izoo } \end{aligned}$ | E330,000 | 310,000 |
|  |  | L0R | Los | SsM | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 00.00\% | ${ }^{6}$ |  | 100.00\% | $100.00 \%$ | 00.00\% |
|  | ${ }^{\text {BV002a }}$ | LOR | POO | PM | Level 1 | Level2 | Evel2 |  | Level 2 on the old standards achieved. Further action necessary to reach level 2 on the revised standard | vel 2 | .evel2 |  |
|  |  | LOR | POD |  |  |  | , |  | Method of future deliver under review. | ${ }^{80 \%}$ | \% | $8{ }^{80 \%}$ |


| Non-Executive Areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance indicator | Ref | \|enthelt | Bept Section | sead efficer | Actuat <br> 2005106 | Targe! 2006107 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Progress } \\ & \text { AI } \\ & \hline 103.07 \end{aligned}$ | Status at 31307 | comments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { larget } \\ & 2007108 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Targel } \\ & 2008 \text { iog } \end{aligned}$ | Target <br> 2019110 |
| Percentage of the top-paid $5 \%$ of staff who have a disability (excluding those in mainiained school). | BV011c | NEX | POD | PM |  | 3\% | 0\% | R | No specific measures proposed to target recruitment of people with a disability to this group. | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| The percentage of local authority employees with a disability. | BV016a | NEX | POD | PM | 2.00\% | 3.50\% | 2.00\% | R | No further specific measures proposed to target recruitment of people with a disability. | 3.50\% | 3.50\% | 3.50\% |
| The percentage of the top $5 \%$ local authority staff who are from an ethnic minority. | BV 011b | NEX | POD | PM | 280\% | 4\% | 2.6 | R | Target not achieved. Work continues to improve rate of employment of people from BME community Recommended adjustment of future targets | Gurrentin <br> Taiget <br> 4\% <br> Priposed <br> Targef <br> $36 \%$ | 3.6\% | 3.60\% |
| The percentage of local authority employees from ethnic minority communites. | BV 017a | NEX | POD | PM | 3.40\% | 4.00\% | 2.40\% | R | Target not achieved. Work continues to improve rate of employment of people from BME community | 4.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% |
| Percentage of top-paid 5\% local authority staff who are women. | BV011a | NEX | POD | PM | 37.90\% | 37\% | 44\% | G |  | 37\% | 37\% | 37\% |
| The percentage of employees retiring early (excluding illhealth retirements) as a percentage of the total work force. | BV 014 | NEX | POD | PM | 0.52\% | 0.30\% | 0\% | G |  | 0.50\% | 0.50\% | 0.50\% |


| Non-Executive Areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peflormance lidicator |  | Pottolio | Bept Section | Lead Officer | Actual 2005106 | targot 2066107 |  | Status:a 313.01 | Comments | Target 2007108 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & \text { 200809. } \end{aligned}$ | Target 2009110 |
| The percentage of local authority employees retiring on grounds of ill-health as a percentage of the total workforce. | BV 015 | NEX | POD | PM | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.15\% | G |  | 0.35\% | 0.35\% | 0.35\% |
| The percentage of the | BV 017b | NEX | POD | PM | 3.60\% |  | 3.60\% | G |  | BVIPlidel | asitrom | pril 2007 |
| $65 \%$ of minor planning applications determined in 8 weeks | BV 109b | NEX | PEN-DC | DCM | 88.00\% | 76\% | 84\% | G |  | 76\% | 76\% | 76\% |
| $80 \%$ of other planning applications determined in 8 weeks | BV 109C | NEX | PEN-DC | DCM | 94.00\% | 90\% | 91\% | G |  | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| $60 \%$ of major planning applications determined in 13 weeks | BV 109a | NEX | PEN-DC | DCM | 90.00\% | 70\% | 79\% | G |  | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% |
| Percentage of appeals allowed against the authority's decision to refuse planning applications | BV 204 | NEX | PEN | HOPE | 25.0\% | 33\% | 21\% | G |  | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Score against Planning Best Practice checklist | BV 205 | NEX | PEN | HOPE | 100.0\% | 90\% | 90\% | G |  | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |


| Non-Executive Areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Indicator | Ref | Porthlio | Dept <br> Section | Lead Officer | Actual 2005106 | Target 2006007 |  | Stallis at 31307 | COMMENTS |  |  | naget 2009110 |
| Percentage of applicants satisfied with the service received | BV 111 | NEX | PEN-DC | DCM |  | 85\% | 83\% | G | confidence level $+/ .5 \%$ |  |  | 85\% |
| The percentage of the economically active population in the local authority area who have a disability. | BV 016 b | NEX | POD | PM |  |  | 14.20\% |  |  |  |  |  |

CAPITAL OUTTURN 2006/2007

| portfolio | scheme | PROJECT | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ORIGINARL } \\ \text { ESTLMATE } \\ 2006 / 07 \\ E \end{gathered}$ | REVISED estimate 2006/07 £ | ACTUAL $2006 / 07$ £ | CARRY FORWARD FORWARD £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEADER | Reprace Plan Printer <br> Environmental Improvement eg Cycleway/Conservation CAPS Development - Local Dev. Framework Module | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C10100 } \\ & \text { C10101 } \\ & \text { C10102 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12,000 50,000 | 5,500 | 5,541 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 12,000 | 0 | 0 | o |
|  |  |  | 84,000 | [ |  |  |

## LEADER PORTFOLIO <br> OUTTURN SUMMARY 2006/07

| Non Executive | Current Approved Estimate 2006/2007 £ | Actual Expenditure 2006/2007 f | Revenue Carry Forwards E | Variation To Estimate <br> $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Licensing \& Hackney Carriages | 71,100 | 56,975 | 4,900 | $(9,225)$ |
| Planning \& Environment Admin | 0 | 0 | 1.000 | 1,000 |
| Development Control | $(173,600)$ | (216,411) | 13,400 | $(29,411)$ |
| Local Plans | 388,600 | 365,542 | 15,500 | $(7,558)$ |
| Building Controi - Fee Earning A/C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Building Control - Non Fee Earring A/C | 94,200 | 98,146 | 0 | 3,946 |
| Cabinet Office | 0 | 0 | 5,600 | 5,600 |
| Personnel Services | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Health \& Safety | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total Non-Executive | 380,300 | 304,252 | 40,400 | $(35,648)$ |
| Corporate |  |  |  |  |
| Democratic Mgt \& Representation | 592,200 | 594,834 | 1,400 | 4,034 |
| Corporate Management | 1,012,600 | 997,235 |  | $(15,365)$ |
| Emergency Planning | 49,400 | 31,583 |  | (17.817) |
| Scrutiny Officer | 59,800 | 66,675 |  | 6,875 |
| Legal \& Admin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Central Print Room | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Postages | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Land Charges | $(50,000)$ | $(42,835)$ | 0 | 7,165 |
| Estates \& Valuation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Public Land \& Buildings | 13,500 | 17,795 | 0 | 4,295 |
| Registration Of Electors | 109,200 | 99,917 | 0 | $(9,283)$ |
| Elections | 30,600 | 18,262 | 0 | $(12,338)$ |
| Total Corporate | 1,817,300 | 1,783,466 | 1,400 | $(32,434)$ |
| Total leader | 2,197,600 | 2,087,719 | 41,800 | (68,081) |

## The major variations other than those caused by FRS 17 and Central Support are as follows:

Licensing \& Hackney Carriages
Mainly due to an increase in the number of Liqour Licence applications and Gambling Machine licences.

## Development Control

Mainly due to additional income generated as a result of an increase in large fee earning applications.

## Local Plans

Savings as a result of recruitment at iower grades, redution in working hours and a reduction in Ordnance Survey income.

## Building Control - fee earning a/c.

A reduction in income due to a general downturn in building work which is partly offset by an increase in partnering applications. (This has no affect on the General Fund as any surplus/deficit is transferred to the Building Control Reserve).

## Cabinet Office

Mainly due to savings in Employee Expenses owing to vacant posts and a reduction in working hours.

## Personnel Services

Savings on employee costs owing to vacant posts, recruitment at lower grades and reduced training costs.

## Democratic Mat \& Representation

Mainty due to reduced expenditure on Councilior's Allowances, course fees and conferences.

## Corporate Management

Savings on external audit fees.
Emergency Planning
Reduced expenditure as Service Level Agreement payments did not materiaiise in the financial year.

## Leqal \& Admin

Savings on Employee Expenses owing to vacant posts, partially offset by increased expenditure on legal costs for Housing and Debtors and a reduction in court fee income.

## Central Print Room

Mainly due to an increase in usage of the colour copier for Council Tax demands, offset by an increase in income and recharges.

## Postages

Savings mainly due to the pricing restructure and an increase in the use of second class mail.

## Land Charges

Mainly due to additional income generated from search fees offset by FRS17 and Central Support charges.

## Public Land \& Buildings

Mainty due to increased expenditure as a result of costs incurred at evaluating the proposed sale of land at Teal Close and the Arnold Masterplan. This is partly offset by an increase in income due to wayieaves and retrospective consents.

## Registration Of Electors

Increased expenditure mainly due to the mailing of postal votes and reduced canvassing fees.

| Agenda 21, Crime and Community Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Port fotio | Lead Officer | OUTCOMEIOUTPUF |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STATUS } \\ & 31.03 .07 \end{aligned}$ | Wr M, COMMENTS |
| NEW TASK - Support the introduction of pilot Neighbourhood Policing initiative in the Borough | AG21 | CPM |  | Shared surgeries to start Auturmn 2006 | G |  |
| Co-ordinate delivery of CCTV system upgrade | AG21 | EPM | Effective and efficient CCTV system that contributes towards reduced incidence of crime, reassures public and supports prosecution of offenders | In place by 30/6/06 | G | Phase 1 \& 2 completed |
| Manage the Area-Based Initiative programme, including introduction of ABIs in two further areas and coordinating delivery of first year ABI action plans | AG21 | CPM | Improved quality of life in Borough's most deprived areas, focussing on issues of most concern to local residents, and capacity within communities to address these issues. | Action Plans for $y \mathrm{r} 2 \mathrm{ABIs}$ in place by end 2006 Yr 1 Projects in line with Action Plans for each area | G |  |

Performance Indicators


Agenda 21, Crime and Community Portfolio

| Pêformance indicator | それef | Pontolio | Depy Section | Lead Officer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { 2005106 } \end{aligned}$ | Target. $2006607$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Status at } \\ & 31: 307 \end{aligned}$ | COMMENTS | Targef <br> 2007108 | Tanget <br> 200870 | Targef 208940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Robberies per year, per 1000 population in the Local Authority area. | BV 127b | AG21 | CAB | CPM | 1.39 | 0.8 | Year to date 2.2 | R | Sporadic across the Borough area with no hot spots or trends identified. Actual number of offences within the wider overall crime context are low but still a priority for the Partnership. Target for 2007/08 may need to be reset by CDRP Tactical Group to reflect more realistic position while ensuring overall recorded crime reduction target is secured. Targets for 2009/10 are provisional, to be developed fhrough Shadow S Notts CDRP. Recommended adjustment of future targets | Curent <br> Jarget <br> 0.7 per <br> 10000 <br> bupulätion <br> Proposéd <br> Target 19 <br> per 1000 <br> populatión | 0.7 | 0.7 |


| Agenda 21, Crime and Community Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance liticator. |  | Portiolio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dep! } \\ & \text { Section } \end{aligned}$ | Lead Ohicer | Actual 2005105 | targe: 200607 | $\|$Progress <br> At <br> 31.0307 | Status a 31.307 | comments | Target 2007108 | Target 200869 | Targel 208910 |
| Vehicle crimes per 1,000 population | BV 128 | AG21 | CAB | CPM | 18.34 | 14.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year to } \\ & \text { date } 15 \end{aligned}$ | R | Reflects a trend across South Notts district. Decreases now being realised. Key issue is theff trom vehicle - items on show. Hot spot areas identified including businesses / car parks, and campaigns to raise awareness within community where items left on show continue. Still a partnership priority. Target for 2007/08 may need to be reset by CDRP Tactical Group to reflect more realistic position while ensuring overall recorded crime reduction target is secured. Targets for 2008/09 and 2009/10 are provisional, to be developed through Shadow S Notts CDRP. Recommended adjustment of future targets | Current Target 138 <br> Proposed <br> Target <br> 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 |
| Percentage of a series of questions about addressing domestic violence in which the local authority can answer "yes" | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{BV} 225 \& \\ \hline \mathrm{CAA} & \mathrm{~S} \\ 1.3 \mathrm{e} \end{array}$ | AG21 | CAB | CPM | 54.50\% | 63.60\% | 63.63\% | G |  | 72.70\% | 81.80\% | 90.90\% |

Agenda 21, Crime and Community Portfolio

Performance Indicators

| Agenda 21, Crime and Community Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pefomancamaticator | Ref | Pomfolio | Deput Section | lead Gffeer |  |  |  | Status ant $343.07$ | COMMENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iafget } \\ & 2007 \mathrm{l} \end{aligned}$ | Farget 2008109: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { noget } \\ & 200910 \end{aligned}$ |
| Score against Environmental Health Best Practice checklist | BV 166 | AG21 | PEN- <br> FLH | PEN- <br> FLH | 90.0\% | 90\% | 90\% | $G$ |  | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% |
| Number of 'sites of potential concerned within the local authority area, with respect to land contamination. | BV 216a | AG21 | PEN-EP | EPM | 50 | 50 | 50 | G |  | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Number of sites for which sufficient detailed information is available to decide whether remediation of the land is necessary, as a percentage of ail 'sites of potential concern'. | BV 216b | AG21 | PEN-EP | EPM | 2.00\% | 2.00\% | 3\% | G |  | 2.00\% | 2.00\% | 2.00\% |
| Percentage of pollution control improvements to existing installations completed on time. | BV 217 | AG21 | PEN-EP | EPM | 91.00\% | 100\% | 100\% | G |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Percentage of Environmental Health programmed work I.e., inspections sampling, visits, checks carried out | Local 012 | AG21 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{PEN}- \\ & \mathrm{FLH} \end{aligned}$ | FL.HM |  | 96\% | 97\% | G |  | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% |

Performance Indicators

## Agenda 21, Crime and Community Portfolio


CAPITAL OUTTURN 2006/2007

| PORTFOLIO | SCHEME | PROJECT | ORIGINALESTIMATE <br> $2006 / 07$ £ | REVISED ESTIMATE 2006/07 £ | ACTUAL 2006/07 $\varepsilon$ £ | CARRY FORWARD $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGENDA 21, CRIME <br> \& COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | HORDS CCTV Project | C11500 | 0 | 308.200 | 294,634 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 隹 |

## AGENDA 21, CRIME \& COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PORTFOLIO

## OUTTURN SUMMARY 2006/07

| ENVIRONMENT | Current Approved Estimate 2006/2007 £ | Actual Expenciture 2006/2007 £ | Revenue Carry Forwards $\pm$ | Variation To Estimate <br> $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental Protection | 453,500 | 399,423 | 26,300 | $(27,777)$ |
| Food, Health \& Safety | 293,000 | 279,691 | 0 | $(13,309)$ |
| TOTAL | 746,500 | 679,114 | 26,300 | (41,086) |
| CRIME REDUCTION |  |  |  |  |
| Crime Reduction | 666,200 | 705,470 | 2,500 | 41,770 |
| TOTAL AGENDA 21, CRIME \& COMMUNITY DEV. | 1,412,700 | 1,384,584 | 28,800 | 684 |

The major variations other than those caused by FRS17 and Central Support are as follows:

## Environmental Protection

Mainly due to a reduction in Capital Financing Charges and an underspend on Pooled Transport, partially offset by previous years' debtor write offs and Ombudsman complaint costs.

## Food, Health \& Safety

Savings on Employee Expenses mainly due to secondments, vacancies and unpaid leave along with an increase in Fees \& Charges income.

## Crime Reduction

Reduction in Police contributions.
Improvement Tasks

| E-Government \& Member Services Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TASK | Port rolio | lead Onfer | OUTCOMEIOUTEUT | 4n+ $+3 x+2+2=1$ <br> TARGET | STATUS AT 31403.07 |  |
| Undertaking an options appraisal with a view to Procuring a CRM (with integrated Telephony) | e-gov | ITPM | Improved customer service and efficiencies in work processes | 31st March 2007 | A | Deferred following review by Blue Marble |
| Implement on line Licensing | EM | FLHM | Provision of an electronic end-toend licensing system | Mar-07 | G | Ongoing work. |
| Rolling out Remote Access facilities depending on the outcome of the trial | e-gov | ITTM | Improved communication with all employees and efficiency in use of time | 31st March 2007 | G | One further employee working from home. Remains an ongoing activity to identify opportunities where desired. |
| Implementing a Disaster Recovery framework | e-gov | ITPM | Resilience in continuity of services in the event of a disaster | 30 Sept 06. Revised to Sept 07 (Cabinet 2/11/06) | G | Identification of requirements to work with partners supporting each other in disaster recovery arrangements is ongoing. This work needs to link in with business continuity arrangements |
| Achieve National Procurement Standards | EM | HoPOD | To ensure the Council meets the national standards To implement good practice in procurement for efficiency and Savings | March 2006 - Revised to March 07 for outstanding items (Cabinet - Jun 06) | G | Procurement Strategy completed and published. Contains actions to achieve national procurement standards where relevant. |
| Support Departments in the corporate rollout of EDRMS, including technical advise and process re-engineering to achieve organisational efficiencies and savings | EM | HoPOD | Efficiency savings in terms of speed of access to information Release of resources for other priorities | Mar 07. Revised to Mar 08 (Cabinet $2 / 11 / 06$ ) | G | Deferred |

CAPITAL OUTTURN 2006/2007


## E-GOVERNMENT \& MEMBER SERVICES

## OUTTURN SUMMARY 2006/07

| Current <br> Approved | Actual | Revenue | Variation To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimate | Expenditure | Carry | Estimate |
| $2006 / 2007$ | $2006 / 2007$ | Forwards |  |
| $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ |

## INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

information Technology
0
0 108,200
108,200

TOTAL E-GOVT MEMBER

| 0 | 0 | 108,200 | 108,200 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The major variations other than those caused by FRS17 and Central Support are as follows:

## Information Technology

Variance mainly due to underspends on supplies and services, particularly with respect to hardware and software maintenance and software licences. Capital accounting charges were underspent due to increased government grants and contributions deferred and income was received for Geding support of Rushclife payroll which was not in the original IT Revenue budget.

| Development \& Economic Regeneration Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TASR | Port folio | Lead omicer | OUTCOMEIOETBUTY |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STATUS } \\ & \text { 31.03:07 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Continue implementation of planning service improvement plan | DE | HoPE | Improvement of Planning Service | Jun-06 | G | New Improvement Plan will develop following Peer Review. |
| Carry out review of planning enforcement | DE | DCM | Provision of new enforcement protocois | Dec-06 | G | Pianning Enforcement Policy adopied Feb 07. |
| Statement of Community Involvement | DE | PPM | Improved consultation processes and community engagement in planning matters and to secure PDG | Sep-06 | G | Task completed |
| Calverton/Lambley Conservation Area Reviews | DE | PPM | To assess areas for improvement and to enable securing of funds | Nov 06/Nov 07 | G | Calverton complete. Lambley complete and awaiting adoption. |
| Annual Monitoring Report | DE | PPM | Provision of statutory document and to secure PDG | Dec-06 | G | Ongoing |
| Improve location and design of new open space via Development Briefs | DE | PPM | Improved consideration of crime and disorder issues | Dec-06 | G | Ongoing |
| Core Strategy | DE | PPM | Provision of statutory document and to secure PDG | Nov 07 - Revised to July 08 (Cabinet - Aug 06) | A | As at 31 March, consideration was being given to further review of timetable following issues raised by Peer Review. LDS has subsequently been sent to GOEM for approval. Adoption likely in May 2007. |


| 教 Development \& Economic Regeneration Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TASK | Port folia | lead Bficer |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STAS } 45 \\ & 310307 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| delivery for Building Control in partnership with conurbation districts and City | DE | BCM | Improved and more resilient service delivery | Jun-06 | $\bar{G}$ | Management team approval from 1.4.07. Ongoing full joint working initiative 1.4.08 |
| Detailed review and assessment of NO2 levels | DE | EPM | Possible declaration of air quality management area (AQMA) | Apr-07 | R | Recornmend amending target date to March 08 and roliing forward into 2007/08 Service Plan accordingly |

Performance Indicators

| Performance Indicator | Ref | Portfolio | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Dept/ } \\ \text { Section } \end{array}\right\|$ | Lead Officer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & 2005 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & \text { 2006/07 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Progress } \\ \text { At } \\ 31.03 .07 \end{gathered}$ | Status at 31.3.07 | COMMENTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & 2007 / 08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & 2008109 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & \text { 2009/10 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3rcentage of conservation eas in the local authority ea with an up-to-date aracter appraisal | BV 219b | DE | PEN-PP | PPM | 12. $5 \%$ | 50.00\% | 33\% | A | N.B. This progress \% is based upon there now being six Conservation Areas within the Borough, following the enlargement and merging of Calverton's three Areas, In reality, however, we have addressed $50 \%$ of the Borough's Conservation Areas as they stood at the time the target was agreed. | 62.50\% | 75.00\% | 83\% |
| tal number of conservation tas in the local authority :a | BV 219a | DE | PEN-PP | PPM | 8.0\% | 8 | 6 | A | Following consultation on a draft Conservation Areas Appraisal for Calverton it was agreed, with strong local backing, to extend Calverton's three small Conservation Areas in order to form one large Area. This has served to reduce the total number of Conservation Areas in the Borough from eight to six, although the land area of both Calverton, and thus the Borough, with a Conservation Area designation has actually increased. | BVPI del | as from | pril 2007 |


| Development and Economic Regeneration Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pefformance lidicator | Ref | Portaio | Depl: Section | Lead oflicer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actuat } \\ & \text { 2005100 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Firget } \\ & 2006 i 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Progress } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { At } \end{array} \\ & 31.03: 07 \end{aligned}$ | Status at 313.07 | cominemts | Target 2007108 | Target 2008169 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tagei } \\ & \text { 2an9in } \end{aligned}$ |
| Percentage of new homes built on previously developed land | BV 106 | DE | PEN-PP | PPM | 98.00\% | 60\% | 88\% | G | Please note that this figure relates to financial year 2005/2006, as this factor is monitored on an annual basis in arrears. It is also likely to dip in future until the Gedling Colliery site is developed. | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |
| Plan Making - Do you have a development plan (or alternations to it) that has been adopted in the last 5 years and the end date of which has not expired? | BV 200a | DE | PEN-PP | PPM | Yes | Yes | Yes | G |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Did the local Planning Authority publish an annual monitoring report by December of the last year? | BV 200c | DE | PEN-PP | PPM | yes | yes | Yes | G |  |  |  | $\text { April } 2007$ |


| Development and Economic Regeneration Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peffolianca lidicator | Ref | Portolo | Dept Section | Lead ©licer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual: } \\ & 2005106 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & \text { 2006i07/ } \end{aligned}$ |  | Statusat $313.07$ | COMMENTS | Target 2007108 | Targel 2008109 | Fanget $2009110$ |
| Percentage of conservation areas with published management proposals | BV 219c | DE | PEN-PP | PPM | 12.5\% | 50.00\% | 33\% | G | N.B. This progress \% is based upon there now being six Conservation Areas within the Borough, following the enlargement and merging of Calverton's three Areas. In reality, however, we have addressed $50 \%$ of the Borough's Conservation Areas as they stood at the time the farget was agreed. | BVFII Iellete | deas hom | Apil 2007 |
| If 'No' are there proposals on deposit for an alternation or replacement, with a published timetable for adopting those alternation of the replacement pian within three years? | BV 200b | DE | PEN-PP | PPM | n/a | N/a |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |

## DEVELOPMENT \& ECONOMIC REGENERATION PORTFOLIO

OUTTURN SUMMARY 2006/07

|  | Current Approved Estimate 2006/2007 $£$ | Actual Expenditure 2006/2007 £ | Revenue Carry Forwards $£$ | Variation To Estimate <br> E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic \& Community Development | 145,400 | 112,198 | 17,300 | $(15,902)$ |
| Business Units | $(6,200)$ | $(6,259)$ | 0 | (59) |
| Community Grants | 238,700 | 249,365 | 3,500 | 14,165 |
| TOTAL DEVELOPMENT \& ECONOMIC REGEN. | 377,900 | 355,304 | 20,800 | $\underline{(1,796)}$ |

The major variations other than those caused by FRS17 and Central Support are as foflows:
Economic \& Community Development
Mainly due to a reduction in Capital Financing charges and grants not being requested.

## Community Grants

Mainly due to an increase on NNDR Charitable Relief.
Improvement Tasks

| Direct Services and Property Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TASK | Port fallo | lead ©fficer | YOUTCETEIQUTPUTV |  |  |  |
| Development of new strategy/policy for building services related functions (including caretaking and cleaning services) | DS | HDS | To enhance quality of service provision | 01/03/2007 Revised to April 2007 (Cabinet Feb 07) | R | Following meeting of Performance Scrutiny it has been discussed that this be broken down into 3 parts: 1. <br> Review of Caretaking - Aug 07. 2. Review of Building Svs - Nov 07. 3. New contract for building cleaning from April 2008. Recommend including these three tasks in 2007/08 Service Plan. |
| Finalisation of twin bin roll out and determination of facilities for properties with no space for it | DS | HDS | To ensure recycling rate is reached and maintained | Dec 06 | R | Due to both bin shortages and staff vacancy this target was not met. There are still some new builds and properties where it is difficult to store bins, that need sorting out. <br> Recommend amending target to Sept 2007 and rolling forward task to 2007/08 accordingly. |
| Review Joint working with other County districts on Waste Services | DS | HDS | Joint working to provide à more efficient and cost effective service through partnerships | $\begin{aligned} & 1-01 / 12 / 2006 \\ & 2 \text { - June } 2007 \end{aligned}$ | A | Entec still working on. This has been delayed by other authorities and is now should be October 2007. Recommend amending target date to Oct 2007 and rolling forward task to 2007/08 accordingly. |

Improvement Tasks

| Direct Services and Property Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TASK | Port folio | Lead orficer | $\qquad$ | TARGET | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STATUS } \\ 3103.07 \end{array}$ | Wh COMMENTS |
| Review and update Business Plan taking into consideration partnership working | DS | HDS | To ensure Value for Money | March-07 |  | To be reviewed following results of joint working with Entec above and further works on the transformation. Recommend amending target date to March 2008 and rolling forward task to 2007/08 accordingly. |
| Decriminalisation of parking - work with County Council | DS | HDS | More efficient and effective on street parking. | 01/03/2007. Revised to Sept 07 (Cabinet 2/11/06) | A | County-Ied likely to be Nov 07 |
| Joint working with neighbouring authorities on: Trade Waste (recycling), and Medical Waste | DS | HDS | Joint working to provide a more efficient and cost effective service through partnerships | 01/08/2005. Revised to June 2006, if viable (Cabinet - Feb 06) Further revised to Sept 06 (Cabinet - Jun 06) | A | Medical waste started June 2006. Trade waste on hold as more expensive charge than sending to landfill. |
| Review of new waste disposal arrangements with Notts CC | DS | BSM/FRM | To ensure smooth transition to other outlets | March-07 | A | Negotiations still taking place, this is County-led project. Recommend amending target to March 2008 and rolling forward task to 2007/08 accordingly. |
| Joint working with other County districts on Vehicle Procurement | DS | HDS | Joint working to provide a more efficient and cost effective service through partnerships | October-06 | G | First fleet of vehicles ordered with delivery in October. Consortium to be reviewed in Sept 2007 |


| Direct Services and Property Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TASIC | Port follo | lead Officer | OUTGOMETOUTRUR B |  | STATUS AT F 3103.07 |  |
| Prepare for implementation of Arnold Master Plan | DSP | E\&VM |  | Mar-07 | G |  |
| Implementation of new Financial and Waste management software | DS | BSMIFRM | Ensure smooth transition of services | June-06 | G |  |


| Direct Services and Property Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peflormance lidicator | Ref | Portholio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bept\% } \\ & \text { Siction } \end{aligned}$ | lead Officer | Actual 2005106 | Target <br> 2015107 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Progress } \\ & 3103,07 \end{aligned}$ | Statisa 313.07 | comments | target: 2007th | warget 20183109 | Tatget 2059111 |
| Percentage of households resident in the Authority's area serves by kerbside collection of at least two recyclables. | BV 091b | DS | DSV | BSM | 99.50\% | 99.50\% | 98.10\% | R | Working towards $100 \%$ rollout of twin bin scheme. Final phase covering communal bin areas to be completed | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100\% |
| Cost of waste collection per household | BV86 | DS | DSV | HDS | £42.82 | £40.00 | £43.79 | R | Recommended adjustment of future targets | Cutient taidet E4000 Proposed targe ountif oulluai $+63=2$ | Cuitent targett <br> 440.00 <br> Proposed <br> Tanget <br> cuitent <br> outtur <br> $\mathrm{E} 3=\mathrm{W}$ | Curtent <br> targe: <br> $£ 40.00$ <br> Proposed <br> Target <br> current <br> outturn <br> + +23 |
| Percentage of househoid waste arising which have been sent by the Authority for recycling. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BV 082a } 1 \\ & \text { \& LAA S } \\ & 4.1 a \end{aligned}$ | DS | DSV | BSM | 24.63\% | 27.00\% | 31.43\% | G | unaudited and one estimated figure used for calculation. | 29.00\% | 30.00\% | 30\% |
| Total tonnage of household waste arising which have been recycled | BV 082a2 | DS | DSV | BSM | 11910.63 <br> tonnes <br> need to <br> change <br> to 10767 <br> tonnes <br> as <br> includes <br> garden <br> waste | $\begin{aligned} & 12000 \\ & \text { tonnes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13622 \\ & \text { tonnes } \end{aligned}$ | G | This is a very difficult target to predict as it depends on the number of properties built each year. The increase recognises extra properties will increase tonnage. Recommended adjustment of future targets. Local indicator will also be added. | Gugent <br> Earget <br> 12500 : <br> tonnes <br> Proposed <br> Targef <br> 13750 <br> tenines | Gurrent <br> Täget 13000 tontines Proposed Target 14000 tônnes $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14250 \\ & \text { tonnes } \end{aligned}$ |


| Direct Services and Property Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pefformance Indicator | Ref | Portifio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depif } \\ & \text { Section } \end{aligned}$ |  | Actart 2005106 | Target 2006107 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Progress } \\ \text { at } \\ 31 \mathrm{losinf} \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Status at 313.107 | COMMENIS | target 2007108 | Target 2008109 | 7arget 2909110 |
| Percentage of the total tonnage of household waste arising which has been composted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BV } \\ & \text { 082b1\& } \\ & \text { LAA S } \\ & 4.1 \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | DS | DSV | BSM | 3.25\% | 3.40\% | 4.26\% | G |  | 3.50\% | 3.70\% | 3.70\% |
| The tonnage of household waste sent by the Authority for composting or treatment by anaerobic digest. | BV 082b2 | DS | DSV | BSM | 1207.77 tonnes | $\begin{aligned} & 1250 \\ & \text { tonnes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 1846.47 \\ \text { tornes } \end{array}$ | G | Collection from garden waste scheme | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1300 \\ & \text { tonnes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1350 \\ & \text { tonnes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1350 \\ \text { tonnes } \end{array}$ |
| Number of kilograms of household waste collected per head | BV 084 | $\overline{\text { DS }}$ | DSV | BSM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 399.78 \\ & \mathrm{kG} \end{aligned}$ | 330 KG | 387.1 kg | G | Increase in garden waste scheme participants - so more waste perhaps being diverted from CA sites. Recommended adjustment of future targets. | cuntent Taiget 380 kg Pioposed Target 400 kg | Current <br> Target <br> 375 kg . <br> Proposed <br> taiget <br> 400 kg <br>  | 400kg |
| Percentage change from the previous financial year in the number of kilograms of household waste collected per head of the population. | BV 084b | DS | DSV | BSM | -1.29 | -2.40\% | -3.28\% | G | Recommended adjustment of future targets. | Cuireni <br> target <br> $256 \%$ <br> Proposed <br> Target <br> $0 \%$ | Cintebt Tatget $256 \%$ Propised Tairget $0 \%$ | 0\% |
| Percentage of people satisfied that the authority has met their duty to keep land and highways for which the authority is responsible clear of litter and refuse | BV 089 | DS | DSV | HDS | 67\% | 68.00\% | 72\% | G | Recommended adjustment of future targets. | Gurrent <br> Target <br> 685\% <br> Proposed <br> Target <br> $72 \%$ | Culrent <br> Target <br> $69 \%$ <br> Proposed <br> Target <br> $72 \%$ | $72 \%$ |


| Direct Services and Property Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perfomance lndicator | Ref | Paitiolio | Bept? Saction | Lead Qfficer | Acual 2005106 | Target 2006107 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Salus a } \\ 31.307 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | COMMENTIS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { haget } \\ & 2007 / 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Target <br> 2008109 |  |
| Percentage of survey respondents satisfied with household waste coilected | BV 090a | DS | DSV | HDS | 79\% | 80.00\% | 80\% | G |  | 85.00\% | 90.00\% | 90\% |
| Percentage of survey respondents satisfied with waste recycling | BV 090b | DS | DSV | HDS | 75\% | 75.00\% | 77\% | G |  | 77.00\% | 80.00\% | 80\% |
| The percentage of authority buildings open to the public in which all public areas are suitable for and accessible to disabled people (Part M Building Regulations 1991) | BV 156 | DS | EPS | TSM | 66.00\% | 69.00\% | 78\% | G | Targets to change for $07 / 08$ and 08/09. Recommended adjustment of future targets | Curient <br> Target <br> $72 \%$ <br> Proposed <br> Faiget <br> 84\% <br> = $=+$ | Cument <br> Taiget 750\%the <br> foopged anget Q88\% | 100\% |
| The percentage of roads, pavements and public land with significant or heavy levels of litter and detritus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BV } 199 \mathrm{a} \\ & 8 \mathrm{LAA} \mathrm{~S} \\ & 5.1 \& \mathrm{~S} \\ & 7.5 \end{aligned}$ | DS | DSV | BSM | 12.0\% | 11.50\% | 6\% | $G$ | Recommended adjustment of future targets | Cument <br> Tatget $11 \%$ <br> Proposed. <br> Target <br> 8\% | 6ulat <br> Targe 110 <br> Propised Target $8 \% \%$ | 8\% |
| The proportion of relevant land and highway (expressed as a percentage) from which unacceptable levels of graffiti are visible. | BV 1996 | DS | $\overline{\text { DSV }}$ | BSM | 2.00\% | 2.00\% | 1\% | G |  | 2,00\% | 2.00\% | 2.00\% |


| Direct Services and Property Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peiformance lidicator | Ref | Porfolio | Sectio <br> Dept | lead Officer | Actual <br> 2005106 | Target 2036107 | Progiess <br> At <br> 31.03107 | Status at <br> 31.3 .37 | comments | Target <br> 2007718 | Target 200819 | Target 2009110 |
| The proportion of relevant land and highway (expressed as a percentage) from which unacceptable leveis of flyposting are visible. | BV 199C | DS | DSV | BSM | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | G |  | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Percentage of new reports of abandoned vehicles investigated within 24 hours of notification. | BV 218a | DS | DSV | BSM | 93.37\% | 94.00\% | 98.27\% | G |  | 95.00\% | 96.00\% | 97\% |
| Percentage of abandoned vehicles removed within 24 hours from the point at which the Authority is legally entitiled to remove the vehicle. | BV 218b | DS | $\overline{\text { DSV }}$ | BSM | 98.66\% | 99.00\% | 100\% | G |  | 99.00\% | 99.00\% | 99\% |
| Percentage of households resident in the Authority's area serves by kerbside collection of recyclables. | BV091a | OS | DSV | BSM | 99.50\% | 99.50\% | 99.50\% | G | Still trying to deal with some of the more difficult communal areas and new builds without space, will complete in 2007 | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100\% |

CAPITAL OUTTURN 2006/2007

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 氙旨 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  |
| \% |  |

## DIRECT SERVICES AND PROPERTY PORTFOLIO

## OUTTURN SUMMARY 2006/07

| Division | Current Approved Estimate 2006/2007 £ | Actual Expenditure 2006/2007 £ | Revenue Carry Forwards $£$ | Variation To Estimate <br> $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waste Management | 2,973,100 | 2,941,687 |  | $(31,413)$ |
| Public Conveniences, Drains \& Grids | 90,600 | 67,740 | 4,400 | $(18,460)$ |
| Direct Services Admin | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Landscape | 1,507,100 | 1,500,593 | 20,400 | 13,893 |
| Depot \& Fleet Management | 0 | 0 | 14,500 | 14,500 |
| Technical Services | 12,100 | 19,513 |  | 7,413 |
| Residual Highway Maintenance | 38,400 | 34,007 |  | $(4,393)$ |
| Car Parks | 143,600 | 144,955 |  | 1,355 |
| Programmed Maintenance | 155,200 | 159,203 |  | 4,003 |
| Land Drainage | 12,300 | 11,160 |  | $(1,140)$ |
| Building Services | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Energy Management | 9,100 | 10,912 |  | 1,812 |
| Public Offices | 0 | 0 | 8,300 | 8,300 |
| TOTAL DIRECT SERVICES | 4,941,500 | 4,889,770 | 47,600 | $(4,130)$ |

## The major variances in expenditure / income other than those caused by FRS 17

 Accounting Adjustments and Central Support are as follows:
## Waste Management

Variance mainly due to reduced fleet recharges as areas of underspend within Fleet mostly relate to Waste Management usage. (eg $80 \%$ of fuel consumption is within refuse).
Overspends on Trade Waste and green waste disposal have been offset by additional income from these areas
Additional income from recycling has also been achieved through NCC credits and sale of glass due to higher tonnages being collected.

## Public Conveniences, Drains \& Grids

Variance mainiy due to savings in premises expenses following NNDR refund ( $£ 4,454$ ) and additional income received from NCC for gully emptying.

## Landscape

Variance mainly due to cancelation of NCC Highways contract mid year resulting in loss of income. This has been partially offset by savings on supplies and services and some salary savings. Shortfall on Calverton contract has been offset by additional income from Housing contract.
Overspends on third party payments have been offset by remaining underspends on supplies \& services.

## Depot \& Fleet

Main variances due to savings on transport costs- fuel, hire transport and licences, partly offset by higher expenditure on vehicle parts. These costs are incurred as required depending on condition of vehicles.
Additional underspends on supplies and services have resulted in total recharges to departments being reduced.

## Residual Highway Maintenance

A further two lease vehicles returned to lease company mid year.

## Car Parks

Variance mainly due to cost of external legal fees for parking violations, offset by reductions in maintenance expenditure as not required in year.

## Programmed Maintenance

Variance due to decision to terminate drain unblocking service mid year, resulting in reduced income which has been offset by salary and supplies savings.
Additional repair works to bus shelters and street furniture has been met by contributuions from NCC.

## Public Offices

Main adverse variances relate to shortfall in rental income and room hire aswell as overspends in premises costs including maintenance, cleaning and refuse collection. However these have been offset by savings on security due to lower incidence of vandalism.
Improvement Tasks

| Housing Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TASK |  | lead Officer | OUTCOMETOUTITUT | Hendextatatha THETETAREET | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STAGUS } \\ & 31.0307 \end{aligned}$ | COMMENTS |
| Review Leaseholder charges and agreements in line with updated Leaseholders Legislation | HSG | AHMN | To meet the requirements of current leasehold legislation | Mar-07 | R | To be progressed within the slock transfer process. |
| Progress and monitor the $\sqrt{ }$ Joint Homeless Innovation Fund initiative with regard to the Private Rented Sector | HSG | HMDR | Improved access to and quality of the Private Rented Sector across Gedling, Rushcliffe and Broxtowe | Ongoing to March 07 | G |  |
| Produce an action plan with regard to the Children act 2004 and implement across the council | HSG | HHS | To meet the requirements of the legislation and participate in the county partnerships with regard to this | Mar-07 | G |  |
| Produce revised Homelessness Strategy, submit to GOEM and implement | HSG | AHMN | Revised and updated strategy devised and submitted to GOEM aimed at meeting need and reducing the incidence of homelessness | March 2006 - Revised to Sept 06 (Cabinet - Jun 06). Further revised to: Preventative elements Mar 07; Full strategy Dec 07 (Cabinet 2/1/06) | G |  |
| Develop and implement an action plan following the decision determining the outcome of the Stock Option Appraisal with regard to the preferred option for the Housing Service | HSG | HHS | To develop and deliver a Housing Management Service in accordance with tenants wishes | Dec-08 | G |  |

Improvement Tasks

| Housing Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Port follo | lead Officer |  |  |  | COMIMENTS |
| Progress and implement the Partnership for Planned and Responsive Management | HSG | PMM | Efficient and effective maintenance services which give quality and value for money | Planned - Jul-06- <br> Responsive -Apr-07 | G |  |
| Produce a revised Housing Strategy, submit to GOEM and implement | HSG | HMDR | Revised and updated Housing Strategy devised and submitted to GOEM aimed at meeting 'Fit For Purpose' and the delivery of the Key Priorities for Housing | 01/03/2007. Revised to Sept 07 (Cabinet 2/11/06) | G | In consultation with GOEM development of this Strategy will be rescheduled to take account of the stack transfer process. New proposed date for completion June 2008. |
| Review, revise and implement the Private Sector Housing Renewal Policy and Strategy | HSG | HMDR | Policy and Strategy revised to effectively address private sector stock condition within the resources available | March 07 | G |  |
| Undertake a Housing Market Assessment in partnership with the South Notts Sub Region | HSG | HMDR | To meet the requirements of the Regional Housing Board and to inform future investment | Mar-07 | G |  |
| To undertake a Housing Needs Assessment for Gypsy's and Travellers as required by the Housing Act 2004 in partnership with all Notts Authorities | HSG | HMDR | To determine the housing and support needs for Gypsy's and Travellers | Mar-07 | G |  |


| Housing Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performarce linicator | \% | Pottolio | Bepil: Section | lead officer | Actual 2005706 | Tatget 2006107 | Progress Al 3103.07 | states at 31307 | comments | Target <br> 2007108 | target 2008109 | Taryet <br> 240940 |
| Energy Efficiency - the Local average SAP rating of local authority owned dwellings | BV063 | ${ }^{\text {HSG }}$ | ${ }^{\text {HSG }}$ | PMM | 66 | 68 | 66 | R | New methodology introduced by governing body recalibration of figures undertaken - improvement across board but new ratings give appearance of no improvement. Recommended adjustment of future targets | Gument <br> Taltget <br> 70 <br> PGosed <br> Target <br> 68. <br> - <br> $\stackrel{\pi}{2}$ <br> 放 | Gufent <br> Eagetes 72 <br> Pbebsede <br> Tatgets <br> 69 <br>  <br>  <br> Wh | 70 |
| The number of private vacant dwellings that are returned into occupation or demolished as a direct result of the local authority. | BV 064 | ${ }^{\text {HSG }}$ | HSG | HMDR | 0 | ${ }^{4}$ | 2 | R | Target missed because staff not in post to promote the availability of assistance as we would have anticipated with a full compliment of staff. Recommended adjustment of future targets | Cifrent <br> Tane 1/5 <br> Poposed <br> Targetw <br> 2 |  |  |
| Local Authority rent collection and arrears recovery: 7 weeks arrears | BV 066b | HSG | HSG | HMDR | New Indicator 2005/06 | 3.10\% | 3.30\% | R | Staffing issues within the section have been resolved on a temporary basis therefore pefformance expected to improve, however target missed by $0.2 \%$. However upper quartile in 05/06. | 3.09\% | 3.08\% | 3.07\% |


| Housing Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peftormance lidicator |  | Poittolio | Depll Section | lead Officer | Actual 2005106 | Target <br> 2066619 | Progress $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \\ & 310307\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Statusat } \\ & 3 \text { 3. } 67 \end{aligned}$ | COMMEMTS | Farget <br> 2007108 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Target, } \\ 200311093 \end{gathered}$ | target 2009110 |
| Local Authority rent collection and arrears recovery: Notices Seeking Possession | BV 066c | HSG | HSG | HMDR | New indicator 2005/06 | 7.45\% | 8.83\% | R | Benchmarking data suggests good performance in this area with the emphasis on a decreasing target. Recommended adjustment of future targets | Cuitreht <br> Taiget <br> $744 \%$ <br> Proposed <br> tardet <br> $8.5 \%$ | Ciften <br> Tárget <br> $748 \%$ <br> Piopgsed <br> Taibet <br> $8.2 \%$ | 8\% |
| The percentage of the council tenants, or a representative sample of council tenant, stating that they are satisfied with the overall service provided by their landlord when surveyed. | BV 074a | HSG | HSG | HHS | 79\% | 82\% | 77\% | R | Confidence interval $+/-3 \%$, jusi missed target by $2 \%$. |  |  | 80\% |
| Satisfaction of non-ethnic minority local authority tenants with the overall service provided by their landlord. | BV 074c | HSG | HSG | HHS | 79\% | 82\% | 77\% | R | Confidence interval $+/-3 \%$, just missed target by $2 \%$. |  |  | 80\% |
| The average length of stay in hostel accommodation of households which include dependent children or a pregnant woman and which are unintentionally homeless and in priority need | BV 183b | HSG | HSG | AHMS | $\begin{aligned} & 10.92 \\ & \text { weeks } \end{aligned}$ | 9 weeks | 10 weeks | R | Due to the unavailability of suitable accommodation the average stay in hostel accommodation has not met the target by one week. | 9 weeks | 9 weeks | 9 weeks |

Performance Indicators

| Housing Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Petamanceindicator | Ret | Pantolio | Bept Section | lead Officer | Actual <br> 2005106 |  |  | Staters at 31.3.07 | COMMENTS | Targef 2007108 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rangign } \\ & 2008 \mathrm{~g} \end{aligned}$ | Taget 2009110 |
| The propartion of LA homes which were non-decent at 1 April 2007 | BV 184a | HSG | HSG | PMM | 18.00\% | 12\% | 16\% | $R$ | New stock condition survey undertaken by Savills as at 01/04/07. Recommended adjustment of future targets | Cutent <br> Target <br> $10 \%$ <br> Proposed <br> Target9\% $\qquad$ |  | 0\% |
| Average time to re-let local authority housing | BV212 | ${ }^{\text {HSG }}$ | HSG | AHM | 36.9 days | 30 days | 47 days | R | High proportion of Difficult to Lets (DLT). Excluding DTL's performance 26 days. Recommended adjustment of future targets | Cuntent <br> Farget <br> 28 days <br> Proposed <br> Targe <br> 40 aays, | EuTelity Whate dabs Propesed anget 46 gavs | 40 days |
| Local Authority rent collection and arrears: Rent arrears of council tenants as a proportion of the authority rent roil. | Local 005 | HSG | HSG-DR | HMDR | 1.62\% | $1.47 \%$ <br>  <br>  <br> $880 \%$ | 1.81\% | ${ }^{R}$ | Staffing issues now resolved and performance expected to improve. Recommended adjustment of future targets | eufent <br> Target $146 \%$ <br> Propose <br> Target <br> 1.75 |  | 1.65\% |
| Proportion of homelessness applications on which the authority makes a decision and issues written notification to the applicant within 33 working days. | Local 006 | HSG | HSG | AHMS | 84.14\% | 98.50\% | 91.20\% | R | The last quarters performance has been 100\%. Full time manager now in place. Monitoring system implemented. Working to resolve staffing issues. | 98.50\% | 98.6\% | 96.0\% |


| Housing Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pertommance lidicator | Ref | Portitio | Dept Section | Lead Officer | Actert 2005106 | Target 200607 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Progress } \\ & \text { Phat } \\ & 31.0307 \end{aligned}$ | Status at 313.17 | cemitents | Target 2007108 | Targat <br> 2088109 | Target 2009110 |
| Average re-let times for Local Authority dwellings let in the | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & 007 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | HSG | HSG | AHMN | 29 days | 24 days | 26 days | R | Piliot scheme with dedicated allocation officer now | 21 days | 20 days | 19 days |
| The average time taken to complete non-urgent responsive repairs | Local 010 | ,HSG | HSG | PMM | 13 days | 10DAYS | 11 days | R | New contract in place. Targets/monitoring in place to address performance. | 10 days | 10 days | 10 days |
| The average time taken to complete non-urgent responsive repairs | Local 010 | HSG | HSG | PMM | 13 days | 10DAYS | 11 days | R | New contract in place. Targets/monitoring in place to address performance. | 10 days | 10 days | 10 days |
| Satisfaction of ethnic minority council housing tenants (excluding white minority) with their opportunity for participation in management and decision-making in relation to housing services provided by their landlord. | BV 075b | HSG | HSG |  | 64\% | 66\% | 56\% | G | As only 12 returns from BME tenants overall no valid conclusion can be taken regarding the differential impact on BME groups in terms of satisfaction with the opporiunities for participating in management or decision making in relation to housing services provided by the Council. Confidence interval $+1-32 \%$ |  |  | 70\% |


| 产 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peiformanceandicator | Ref | Pantoilo | Dept: Section | lead Offlert |  | Triget 2006i07 |  | Status at 361017 | COMMENTS | 3isuget 2007158 | Target 2008199 | Fangel 2019910 |
| Satisfaction of ethnic minority local authority tenant (excluding white minority tenants) with the overall service provided by their landlord. | BV 074b | HSG | HSG | HHS | 63\% | 82\% | 67\% | G | As onty 12 returns from BME tenants overall no valid conclusion can be taken regarding the differential impact on BME groups in terms of satisfaction with the service provided Confidence interval $+/-27 \%$ |  |  | $80 \%$ |
| Local Authority rent collection and arrears: proportion of rent collected | BV 066a | HSG | HSG | HMDR | 98.94\% | 99.00\% | 99.16\% | G |  | 99.00\% | 99.00\% | 99.00\% |
| Local Authority rent collection and arrears recovery: evictions | BV 066d | HSG | HSG | HMDR | New indicator 2005/06 | 0.37\% | 0.14\% | $G$ |  | 0.36\% | 0.35\% | 0.34\% |
| Satisfaction of tenants of council housing with opportunities for participation in management and decision making in relation to housing services provided by their landlord. | BV 075a | HSG | HSG | HHS | 64\% | 66\% | 63\% | G | Final result slightly below farget but within margin of error (confidence interval $=+/-$ $3 \%$ ). |  |  | 70\% |
| Satisfaction of non-ethnic minority council housing tenants with opportunities of participation in management and decision making in relation to housing services provided by their landlord. | BV 075c | HSG | HSG | HHS | 50\% | 66\% | 63\% | G | Final result stightily below target but within margin of error (confidence interval $=+$ // $3 \%$ ). |  |  | 70\% |


| Housing Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perfortance licicator | Ref | Portfolio | Dept: | lead crfeer | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Actual } \\ 2005 i .65 \end{array}\right\|$ | target 2006677 | Progiess <br> A1 <br> 31.03 .07 | Status at 313.07 | comitents | Targat 200708 | Target 2008109 | Target 20.9110 |
| Does the authority follow the Commission for Racial Equality's code of practice in rented housing and follow the Good Practice Standards for social landlords on tacking harassment included in the Code of Practice for Social Landlords: Tackling Racial Harassment? | BV 164 | HSG | HSG | AHMN | Yes | Yes | Yes | G |  |  | das from |  |
| The average length of stay in bed and breakfast accommodation of households which include dependent children or a pregnant woman and which are unintentionally homeless and in priority need. | BV 183a | HSG | HSG | AHMS | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.25 \\ & \text { weeks } \end{aligned}$ | 4 Weeks | 1 week | G |  |  |  |  |
| The percentage change in proportion of non-decent LA homes | BV 184b | HSG | HSG | PMM | 50.00\% | 11\% | 12\% | G |  | 11\% | 11.00\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TBC } \\ & 1 / 4 / 09 \end{aligned}$ |


| Kん. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Petormance Itideator | Ref | Portcolio | Bept Section | lead Officer | Actual 2005706 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Progress } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { At } \\ \text { Singof } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Statis at 31307 | comments | Targel 2007108 | Target 2008 m9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Targel } \\ & 2009110 \end{aligned}$ |
| The number of people sleeping rough on a single night within the area of the local authority | BV 202 | HSG | HSG | HMDR | 0-10\% | 0-10 | \|o-10 | G |  | - | - | $0-10$ |
| The percentage change in the average number of families, with dependent children or a pregnant woman, placed in temporary accommodation under the homelessness legislation compared with the average from the previous year. <br> Housing Advice service: |  <br> BV 203 <br>  <br>  <br> BV 213 | HSG | HSG <br>  <br>  <br> HSG | HMDR <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> AHM | -23.00\% | -5\% | $-71 \%$ <br>  <br> $180 \%$ | G |  | BVPI delet | das fromil $\qquad$ WHy <br> HFS! <br> HETW <br> tryks $\qquad$ |  |
| Preventing homelessness |  |  |  | AHM |  |  | 1.80\% | G |  | 1.80\% | 20\% | 2\% |
| Proportion of households accepted as statutorily homeless who were accepted as statutorily homeless by the same authority within the last two years. | BV214 | FiSG | HSG | AHM | 0\% | 1.5\% | 0\% | G |  | BVRPdeletec | asfrom | $A 9 \operatorname{con} 2007$ |


| Housing Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peiformance lidicator | Ref | Portfolio | Bept Sectio | lead officer | Actual 2095100 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & 2006107 \end{aligned}$ |  | Status at 313.07 | COMMENTS | Target: <br> 2007108 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trivet } \\ & \text { 2ersiog } \end{aligned}$ | Target 2009110 |
| \% of decent homes achieved | LAA S | HSG | 'HSG | PMM |  |  | 84\% | G |  |  |  |  |
| For vulnerable households in the private sector, to proportion who live in homes that are in a decent condition | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { LAA } & \mathrm{S} \\ 7.6 \mathrm{~b} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | HSG | HSG | PMM |  |  | 67\% | G |  |  |  |  |
| The percentage of urgent repairs completed within Government time limits. | Local 009 | HSG | HSG | PMM | 96.00\% | 96.5\% | 98.84\% | G | Recommended adjustment of future targets |  |  |  |
| The percentage of all repairs completed within target. | Local 011 | HSG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HSG- } \\ & \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | PMM | 95\% | 96.50\% | 98.64\% | G | Recommended adjustment of future targets | Cutrent <br> Target <br> 97\% <br> Propesed <br> Target: <br> $98.6 \%$ |  | 98\% |
| Percentage of responsive (but not emergency) repairs during 2006/07, for which the authority both made and kept an appointment | LOCAL 08 | HSG | HSG | PMM | 98.10\% | 98.60\% | 98.70\% | G |  | 98.70\% | 98.80\% | 98\% |

CAPITAL OUTTURN 2006/2007

| PORTFOLIO | SCHEME | PROJECT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ORIGINAL } \\ & \text { ESTIMALE } \\ & 2006107 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { REVISED } \\ \text { ESTIMATE } \\ \text { 2006/07 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACTUAL } \\ 2006 / 107 \\ £ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CARRY FORWARD <br> £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing | Private Sector Grants | C16000 | 698,000 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Gedling Moving on Homeless Temp Accom. | C16001 | 80,000 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Private Sector Stock Condition Survey | C16002 | 33,000 | 30,800 | 27,225 |  |
|  | Other Grants | C16003 | 136,500 |  | 0 |  |
|  | Killisick Ct - Temp Accormm for Families | C16014 |  | 151.200 | 144.489 | 5,500 |
|  | Powergen Heatstreets Scheme | C16015 | 0 | 50.000 | 29.923 | 21,000 |
|  | Disabled Facilities Grants | C16016 | 0 | 500,000 | 403,920 | 96,100 |
|  | Home Repairs Assistance | C16005 | 0 | 76,000 | 52,331 | ${ }^{23,600}$ |
|  | Renovation Grants - Landlords | C16018 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 10.000 |
|  | Renovation Grants | C16019 | $\bigcirc$ | 130,900, | 107,053 | 23,800 |
|  | External Walls | C30000 | 300,000 | 297,300 | 297,089 |  |
|  | Welfare Adaptations: OAP/Disabled | C30001 | 155,000 | 192,900 | 119.765 | 73,100 |
|  | Roof Replacement Scheme | ${ }^{\text {C30002 }}$ | 30,000 | 34,000 | 54.063 |  |
|  | Home Insulation THAWS | C30003 C30004 | 450,000 | 484.400 | 382,609 | 101,800 |
|  | Electical Works | ${ }_{\text {C30004 }}$ | 50,000 450,000 | 134,200 445600 | 134,042 44909 |  |
|  | Kitchen Replacement | C30005 C30006 | 450,000 200,000 | 445,600 161,900 | 449,190 134,923 | 27,000 |
|  | Community Security | C30007 | 50,000 | 55,200 | 55,606 |  |
|  | Window \& Daor Replacement | C30008 | 1,457,300 | 1,553,600 | 1,514,333 | 39,300 |
|  | Environmental Works | C30009 | 200.000 | 75,000 | 74,625 |  |
|  | DDA Compliance Works | C30010 | 30.000 | 35,000 | 24.243 |  |
|  | Elderly Support | C30011 | 20000 | 88,500 | 87,563 |  |
|  | Passenger Lifís | ${ }_{C}^{C 30013}$ | 250,000 | 50,000 | 29,584 | 20,400 |
|  | Void Properties | C30015 | $\bigcirc$ |  | ${ }_{0}$ |  |
|  | Door Entry Replacements | C30016 | 0 | 10,000 | 6,049 | 4,000 |
|  | Rewires | C30017 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Scheme Electrical Upgrades | C30018 | 0 | 18,200 | 18.161 |  |
|  | Planned Maintenance Ngt Fee | C30019 | ${ }_{0}$ | 565.000 | 564.396 |  |
|  | Decant Property | C30020 $\mathrm{C30800}$ |  | ${ }^{9,600}$ | -9.091 | 500 7800 |
|  | LSVT Expenses | C30801 | $\bigcirc$ | 140,000 | 85,274 |  |
|  | LSVT Expenses | C30802 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 69.227 |  |
|  |  |  | 4,619,300 | 5,328,800 | 4;895;530] | 453;900 |


|  | Current <br> Approved Estimate 2006/2007 £ | Actual Expenditure $2006 / 2007$ <br> £ | Revenue Carry Forwards $£$ | Variation To Estimate $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private Sector Housing | 689,300 | 698,802 | 10,400 | 19,902 |
| Other General Fund Housing | (192,500) | $(222,457)$ | 34,200 | 4,243 |
| General tmprovement Areas | (800) | (1,270) | 0 | (470) |
| Homeléss Admin / Housing Advice | 209,200 | 183,030 | 36,100 | 9,930 |
| TOTAL HOUSING | 705,200 | 658,705 | 80,700 | 33,605 |

The major variations other than those caused by FRS17 and Central Support are as follows:
Renovation Grants
Additional financing charges based on capital spend(matched by credit within Finance Portfolio), a contribution to Notts \& Derby Energy Partnership \& savings on Employee Expenses owing to vacant posts \& Handy Persons Parnership. (Employee expenses \& Handyperson subject to c/fwd request)

Other General Fund Housing
Savings on Employees expenses due to vacant posts, secondments to LSVT \& Rural Housing Enabler Grant not required in 2006/2007.

Homeless Admin / Housing Advice
Savings on Salaries owing to vacant posts, underspend on Grants owing to delay in geting schemes up \& running partially offset by reduction in Government Grant(cffol into 2007/2008) \& increased expenditure on bed \& breakfast. accommodation.

## HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT 2006/2007

## NET COST OF SERVICES

## INCOME

Dwelling Rents (Gross)
Non Dwelling Rents (Gross)
Charges for Services \& Facilities
Supporting People
EXCHEOUER SUBSIDIES
Major Repairs Allowance
Housing Defects Act 1984
TOTAL INCOME
LESS EXPENDITURE
Housing Mgi
Housing General Management
Housing Special Services
Homeless Accommodation
Housing Repairs
LSVT
Corporate \& Democratic Core Charges
Rents, Rates \& Other Taxes
Supporting People
Repayment of housing subsidy (recoupment)
Capital Financing - depreciation dwellings
Non distributed Costs-Pensions
Bad Debt Provision / Write Offs
TOTAL EXPENDITURE
NET COST OF SERVICES

Capital Financing Charges
Interest on HRA Balances
Mortgage Interest
Pensions interest \& Return on Assets
NET OPERATING EXPENDITURE

## APPROPRIATIONS

Capital Expenditure charges to Revenue Transfer Major Repairs Reserve Contributions to/( from) Pensions Reserve (SURPLUS)/DEFICIT FOR YEAR

Balance at Beginning of Year
BALANGE AT END OF YEAR


The major variations other than those caused by FRS17 and Central Support are as follows:
a) Non Dwelling Rents - mainly due to Court costs income now included within Charges for Services \& Facilities
b) Charges for Services \& Facilties -income from Court Costs \& additional income from service charges
c) Housing Management \& Support Services-increased postage costs $\&$ savings due to vacant posts
d) Housing General Management- savings on Employee Expenses \& travel expenses due to vacant posts \& secondments to LSVT(Capital), estate agent fees \& increased insurance commission together with minor overspendings
e) Housing Special Services - mainly increased Employee Costs owing to use of agency staff and incorrect budget adjustment on quarter 3 Cabinet reporf $£ 42,900$, increased lift maintenance costs $£ 6,300$, increased Grounds maintenance recharges from Direct Services $£ 50,800$ \& increased Central Alarm charges $£ 16,000$ (matched by saving in Supporiing Peopie) Other variances are due to Central Support charges \& FRS17accounting adjustments.
f) Housing Repairs - mainiy due to an underspend on repairs because of some schemes, eg ABl , being dependent on third party involvement, shortage of materials following storms, the impact of the end of contracts in preparation for the new partnering contract Savings on Employee costs owing to vacant posts. Overspending on Grounds Maintenance within Special Services to be met from saving on repairs.
g) Rents, Rates \& Other Taxes - Council tax due on empty propertes(mainly bedsits)
h) Supporting People-savings on Employee costs owing to vacant posts, protective clothing \& Central Alarm charges
i) Depreciation - variances on depreciation are due to a revaluation of Council Dwellings as at 31 March These accounting entries, together with the Major Repairs Allowance/Reserve have a net nil effect on HRA
j) Non distributed costs -mainly pension strain on early retirement $£ 17593$. FRS 17 accounting entries in respect of past service gains \& charges are also included here ( $£ 113993$ ) and are reversed out within appropriations. The FRS17 accounting entries have a net nil effect on HRA balances
k) Bad Debts Provision/Write offs " arrears higher than estimated, in particular former tenants arrears for which a $95 \%$ provision is made \& write offs of $£ 13200$

1) Capital Financing Charges-additional interest on the negative HRA Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) owing to an increased interest rate.
m) Interest on HRA Balance - additional interest owing to higher than estimated HRA balances \& a higher interest rate
n) Pension interest \& Return on Assets-accounting entries in respect of Gedings share of the NCC pension fund. These entries are reversed out within appropriations.
o) Appropriations - Reversai of accounting entries in respect of the FRS17 adjustments within the service accounts £47916, past service costs. $£ 113993$ ) \& interest \& return on assets $£ 67641$. These accounting entries have a net nil effect on HRA Balances.
p) Corporate \& Democratic Core-these Central Charges were previously included within the individual service divisions \& under the Sorp 2006, they have to be seperately identified in the HRA,
Improvement Tasks

| Leisure Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TASK | Port tollo | Lead Officer | OUHGOETOUTBHT | Thetret <br> TARGET | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STAMGS } \\ & 3 \operatorname{logho7} \end{aligned}$ |  FHCEMNENS |
| Provide procurement options for the future management of the Borough's leisure centres. | LS | LFO | A range of options for consideration for the future managernent of the Borough's leisure facilities with the recommendation for the best way of delivering leisure services to the community. | Dec 06-Revised to Sept 07 (Cabinet - Aug 06) | $R$ | Resources directed towards the transiormational programme will delay this project. Recommend revised target Sept 08. |
| Provide recreational facilities in the Old Brickyard site on the Honeywood Gardens estate. | LS | LRO | Provision of local facilities for the community. | Oct-06 | G |  |
| Develop a Referral Pathway for Children. | LS | LRO | A physical exercise route for tackling obesity in children. Targeted opportunities for children to participate in health and fitness related activity. A reduction in the number of obese children. | Sept 05-Revised to Nov 05. Further revised to Nov 06 (Cabinet - Jun 06) | G |  |
| Development of the King George V Park, Arnold to provide enhanced community facilities. | LS | LRO | Provision of a park with enhanced children's play facilites, youth facilities, walkways, footpaths and seating areas. This will create a safe and suitable environment for future provision of sports and general activities. | Mar 07 - Revised to Mar 08 (Cabinet - Aug 06) | G |  |


| Leisure Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Periomance lidicator |  | Pontolio | Depll Section | Lead orficer | Actual 2005106 | Target <br> 2006107 | Progiess A: 31.03 .17 | Statis at $31 \times 1.07$ | commenis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & 2007108 \end{aligned}$ | Tratget 2008109 | Target 2099110 |
| Number of green Flag Awards for Parks and Green Spaces | LAA S 5.3 | LS | LSV | HLS |  | increase | 0 | A | Inspection for Arnot Mill Park will take place on 21st May when its hoped we will achieve the first Green Flag | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Percentage of residents satisfied with Sports and Leisure faciilites. | BV 119a | LS | LSV | HLS | 68\% | 65\% | 67\% | G |  | 69\% | 70\% | 71\% |
| Percentage of residents satisfied with Arts activities and venues. | BV 119d | LS | LSV | HLS |  | 45\% | 44\% | G |  | 44\% | 45\% | 46\% |
| Percentage of residents satisfied with Parks and Open Spaces. | BV 119e | LS | LSV | HLS | 70\% | 75\% | 75\% | G | Within margin of error (confidence interval $=+$ 2.96\%) | 76\% | 77\% | 78\% |

CAPITAL OUTTURN 2006/2007

| Portaolio | scheme | PROJECT | ORIGINAL ESTIMATE 2006/07 $E$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACTUAL } \\ 2006 / 07 \\ £ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | GARRY FORWARD $\qquad$ E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LeISURE SERVICES | Reinstate King George V, Arnold |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rutlans RdiTurpin Rd Piay Area | ${ }^{6} 170001$ | 102,900 <br> 55,000 |  | $\begin{array}{r}4,920 \\ 135 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
|  | Netherfield Lagoons Fences \& Paths | C17002 | 5,000 10,000 | 10.000 | 10,000 |  |
|  | Green Fiag/Park Ranger A614 Pitches Construction (ATFC re location) | C17003 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 18,194 | 11,800 |
|  | Bestwood Country Park Drain \& Level Pitch | C17004 | 50,000 |  | 0 |  |
|  | Digby Avenue Move Eall Court | c17005 c17006 | 85,000 45,000 | 3,000 | 2.979 |  |
|  | Edison Way Move Ball Court | c17007 | 50,000 | 20,000 | 20,577 |  |
|  | Geding Comprehensive - Multi Games Provision | C17008 | 1,500, | 1,500 | 0 |  |
|  | Outdocr Provision for Young Provision | C17009 | 41,000 33,800 | 41.000 | 0 | 41,000 |
|  | Development of Grass Sports Ame Vale Atend Ball Cout | C17011 | 2,800 | 35.500 2,800 | 34,300 |  |
|  | Andil Vale xtend Bath Cout Killick Rec. Teenage | C17012 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10.000 |  |
|  | Standhill Rec Youtt Facilities | C17013 C17014 | 0 | 3.800 | 3,718 |  |
|  | Willow Park Youth Facility | C17017 | 0 | 2.400 | 2,278 2,400 | 2,300 |
|  | Returbish Play Area Muirfield | C 17018 | 0 | 8,800 | 8,800 |  |
|  | Newstead Erosion Fencing | C17019 | 0 | 1.300 | 1,290 |  |
|  | Newstead Vilage - Extend Piay Prov | C17020 | 0 | 49,900 | 49,935 |  |
|  | Cemeteries - Repair Monuments | C17021 $C 17500$ | 0 | 2,000 | 2,000 |  |
|  | Carton Cemetery - Ext Phase 1 | ${ }^{6} 17501$ |  | 500 | . 43 |  |
|  | Allotments | C88000 | 15,000 | 1.000 | 1,071 |  |
|  | ( $\begin{aligned} & \text { New Reception Entrance } \\ & \text { Fitness Suite CapacityChanging }\end{aligned}$ | $C 18500$ | ${ }^{105,000}$ | 5.000 | 2,894 |  |
|  | CF Adtit Male/Female Changing | C19000 C1900 | 281,200 139,000 | 279,200 139000 | ${ }^{282,718}$ |  |
|  | CF Air Con Activilies Room/Youth Gym | C19002 | 3,000 |  | 144,037 |  |
|  | Replace Spinning Bikes | C19500 | 10,600 | 10,600 | 10,600 |  |
|  | Redhill Replace Fencing All Weather Pith | ${ }_{\text {c }} \mathrm{C} 195002$ | 121,200 33,500 | 108,200 63,500 | 108,073 |  |
|  | Redhill Refurbish Changing Rooms | C19503 |  | 10,000 | ${ }^{63,7646}$ |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{2} 200000$ | 54,000 | 54,000 | 60,930 |  |
|  | R Herrod Changing Pavillion/Play Facilities | C20500 | 148,200 <br> 173.000 | 163,200 355.300 | 162.535 43.536 |  |
|  | R Harrod Boiler Replacements | C20501 | 2,500 | ${ }^{0}$ | - |  |

CAPITAL OUTTURN 2006／2007

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \text { י্ল } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 茄 } \\ & \stackrel{3}{0} \\ & \text { ex } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 媲 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |

## LEISURE PORTFOLIO

## OUTTURN SUMMARY 2006/07

|  | Current <br> Approved Estimate 2006/2007 E | Actual Expenditure $2006 / 2007$ $£$ | Revenue Carry Forwards $£$ | Variation To Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leisure Services Division | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Miscelianeous Expenses / Contributions | 100,700 | 100,452 | 0 | (248) |
| Landscape Section | 359,900 | 215,612 | 23,500 | $(120,788)$ |
| Calverton Leisure Centre | 264,300 | 282,427 | 0 | 18,127 |
| Carlton Forum Leisure Centre | 104,000 | 510,356 | 0 | 406,356 |
| Redhill Leisure Centre | 62,000 | 104,446 | 7,400 | 49,846 |
| Arnold Leisure Centre | 451,100 | 507,879 | 0 | 56,779 |
| Richard Herrod Leisure Centre | 289,700 | 297,084 | 0 | 7,384 |
| Twinning, Tourism \& Events | 234,700 | 261,142 | 0 | 26,442 |
| Leisure Development | 231,300 | 217,366 | 36,500 | 22,566 |
| Community Centres | 303,000 | 278,472 | 10,800 | $(13,728)$ |
| TOTAL LEISURE | 2,400,700 | 2,775,236 | 83,200 | 457,736 |

The major variations other than those caused by FRS17 and Central Support are as follows:

## Leisure Services Division

Savings due to reduced miscellaneous insurance recharges and lower depreciation costs.

## Landscape Section

Underspend due to savings on employee costs, transport and supplies \& services resufting from the delayed appointment of Urban Park Rangers. Reduced Capital Financing costs mainly on depreciation of community assets and from deferred contributions. Increased cemetery income.

## Calverton Leisure Centre

Increased fuel and electricty prices and lower income leveis, mainiy due to an increase in users switching to DNA, have been offset by savings on empioyee expenses from vacant posts and lower sickness levels.

## Carlton Forum Leisure Centre

Overspend mainly due to capital financing amortisation charges of $£ 394,146$ (corresponding credit within Finance Portfofio) and reduced income from the youth gym, swimming lessons, DNA membership and the fitness room, these have been partially offset by savings on employee expenses and a saving on gas recharges from Wheldon School.

## Redhill Leisure Centre

Overspend mainfy due to capital financing amortisation charges of $£ 34,074$ and reduced fitness room income from pay-as-you-go, as more users than anticipated switched to DNA, these have been partially offset by savings on employee expenses.

## Arnold Leisure Centres

Overspend due to increased employee expenses on instructors fees and by not meeting the vacancy provision. Lower income generated from DNA membership, main hall hire, swimming lessons and general swimming, this being partially due to the additional closure period for leakage repairs and the delayed reprogramming of classes.

Richard Herrod Leisure Centre
Increased expenditure on drainage charges and lower income from the hire of the Miliennium Suite, due to delays with the alterations.

## Twinning. Tourism \& Events

Increased employee expenses due to overtime payments and not meeting the vacancy provision, which has been partially offset by income generated from additional dance group sessions.

## Leisure Development

Overspend on employee expenses due to new member of staff joining the superannuation scheme.

## Community Centres

Reduced income from lower Pensioner concessionary use and on room hire.

| Finance Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TASK | Port follo |  | OUTCOMETGUTBUTH |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STAGUS } \\ & 3103.07 \end{aligned}$ | COMMENTS |
| Impact assessment of replacement financial systems Agresso/Northgate | FIN | FSW | Revised process of structures to deliver efficiency savings to impact upon 2006/207 budget process | June 06 - Revised to Dec 06 (Cabinet - Aug 06) | R | Efficiency savings achieve however staffing report was approved in March 07. |
| IBS - Further Developments of the Councils integrated revenues system | FIN | RM/BM | Web enable enquiries available to customers | 01/09/2006. Revised to Dec 06 (Cabinet 2/11/06) | $R$ | Enquiries now available with effect from April 07. Delays due to competing workloads in both it and Revenues Sections. |
| Staffing review post IBS and document management system implementation | FIN | RM/BM | Revised structure delivering efficiency savings | Sept 06 - Revised to Dec 06 (Cabinet - Aug 06) | R | Efficiency savings achieved however stafing report was not approved until March 07. Report was delayed because of desire to carry out further staff consultation and implement senior management changes in line with the Council's management vision. |
| Telephony trial in OSS involving Housing Benefit and Council Tax enquiries | FIN | RM/BM | Development of potential corporate roll out | Mar-07 | G |  |
| Implementation of Concessionary Fares Partnership Decision se new scheme | $F \mathbb{N}$ | RM | Scheme meets requirements of Nottinghamshire Partnership | Apr-06 | G |  |
| Corporate Governance Framework annual review | FIN | RSM | Assurance that governance arrangements are being applied | May-06 | G |  |


| Improvement Tasks. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L_ Finance Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TASK | Port follo: | lead Officer | $\qquad$ |  | SSATHS |  |
| Carry out financial review of Capital Strategy | FIN | HOF | Continued strong financial management, linked to delivery of key aims and objectives | Dec 05-Revised to Dec 06 (Cabinet - Feb 06) Postponed pending review of Council Priorities (Cabinet Feb 07) |  |  |
| Implement Rushcliffe Borough Council payroll | FIN | HOF |  | Apr-07 | G |  |
| Annual Assurance statement and SIC for previous year | FIN | RSM | Assurance given in respect of previous years financial statements | Jun-06 | G |  |
| Close Final Accounts for 2005/2006 | FIN | FSM | Clear and timely overview of the Council's financial health in line with WGA initiative | By end june 06 | G |  |
| Further development of the Agresso FMS product in line with the development plan | FIN | FSM | Phase 2 developments including <br> Budget Manager Fixed <br> Agreement module | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 06 \\ & \text { Nov } 06 \end{aligned}$ | G | On-going |
| Level 2 DDA Implementation of Council strategy | FIN | RMIBM | Recording of Monitoring Info in fine with agreed process | Sep-06 | G |  |
| Annual Billing NDDR/Ctax issued timely and accurately | FIN | RM | Annual bills reissued for the 1 April instalment | Mar-07 | G |  |
| Cash Receipting Replacement IT System | FIN | RM | Replacement system as current system reaches end of useful life | Oct-06 | G |  |

Improvement Tasks

| Finance Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TASK | Port 10/1io | leead Officer | OUTCOMEIOUTPET |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SHATUS } \\ & 31.03 .07 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Ongoing review of council's strategic risk register | FiN | RSM | Formal 6 monthly reviews with Councils SMT report to Audit Sub Committee | Sept 06/Jan 07/Mar 07 | G |  |
| Development of Payroll Services for Rushcliffe Borough Council | FIN | FSM | SLA in place | Mar-07 | $G$ |  |


|  |  |  | \％ | 咢 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 芯若 |  |  | 管 |
|  | 要要名 |  |  | 鄢 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ | $\propto$ |
|  |  | No | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\infty}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 0 \\ 8 \\ 0 \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | \％ | 哭 |
| ． | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & 48 \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{\circ}}{\frac{2}{\infty}}$ | $\frac{m}{\circ}$ |  |
|  | $\frac{8}{9} \frac{8}{3} \frac{4}{5}$ | $\sum_{0}$ | 5 | 墭 |
|  | $\frac{2 \pi}{2} \frac{g}{8}$ | ， | $\underline{\text { z }}$ | 즌 |
|  |  | 砍 | 잔 | 즌 |
|  | \＃ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 吕 } \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Finance Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Petormance lidicator | Ref | Portiolio | bep! Sectien | Lead Officer | Actual 2005706 | Target 2006107 | Progress そAt 31.030. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stalus } \\ & 313 \text { or } \end{aligned}$ | comments | Target <br> 2007118 | Target 2018109 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { faget } \\ & 2009 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| The amount of Housing Benefit overpayments (HB) recovered during the period being reported on as a percentage of HB deemed recoverable over-payments during that period. | BV 079b 1 | FIN | FIN | BM | 71.05\% | 75\% | 59.12 | R | Reviewing data as performance in not in line with previous trend. <br> Recommended adjustment of future targets | eutrenf <br> Taget 80\% <br> Proposed <br> Tianget <br> $\overline{6} 5 \%$ \% | Eufteffe <br> Taiget: <br> 80\% \% <br> Poposed <br> Tatye <br> $70 \%$ | 80\% |
| HB over-payment recovered during the period as a percentage of the total amount of HB over-payment debt outstanding as the start of the period plus amount of HB over-payment identified during the period. | BV 079b 2 | FIN | FIN | BM | 42\% | 45\% | 27.53 | R | Trend does show improvement during the year but a large portion of previous years debt has not been actively pursued due to resources being use to support overall benefits processing improvements. Recommended adjustment of future targets | Cinteri <br> Target <br> 50\% <br> Enóposed <br> laiget <br> 35\%\% <br> Wixt <br> 者 <br>  <br> and | Cutrent <br> Target <br> $509 \%$ <br> Propased <br> Tame <br> 455484 <br> Wenchating <br>  <br>  $\qquad$ | 50\% |


| Finance Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Indicator | Ref | Portfolio | Dept Section | Lead Officer | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Actual } \\ 2005 / 06 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & \text { 2006/07 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Progress } \\ \text { At } \\ 31.03 .07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Status at } \\ 31.3 .07 \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Target } \\ 2007108 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Target } \\ 2008 / 09 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Target } \\ & 2009 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| he percentage of invoices or commercial goods and ervices which were paid by re authority within 30 days of sch invoices being received $y$ the authority. | Local 003 | FIN | FIN | FSM | 94.81\% | 97.00\% | 94.22\% | R | Very poor performance in first quarter when new system introduced has resulted in non achievement of local target. Latest monthly indicator shows a performance level at $99.02 \%$, showing that the anticipated improvements expected from the new system are now being achieved. | 97.50\% | 98.00\% | 98.00\% |
| ser satisfaction survey: arification etc of forms and aflets. | BV 080e | FIN | FIN | BM | W | 75\% | 70\% | R | Comparative data available (30 Councils) show only two achieving a better result with the highest mark at $72 \%$. Performance is expected to be in upper quartile and the service will continue to review | not collected | not collected | 75\% |
| 3 over-payments written off ring the period as a rcentage of the total sount of HB overpayment bt outstanding at the start the period, plus amount of over-payments identified ing the period. | BV 079b 3 | FIN | FIN | BM | 4.23\% | 4\% | 4.96 | R |  | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |


| Finance Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peformancel lidicitor | Ret | Pontrolio | Bept! Section | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wead } \\ & \text { Officer } \end{aligned}$ | Actua 2005106 | Tritget <br> 20 B 507 | Progress At 31.0307 | Statis at 31.3.07 | COMMENTS | Target <br> 2007168 | Target: 200809 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Taget } \\ & 2009910 \end{aligned}$ |
| User satisfaction survey: telephone service | BV 080c | FIN | FIN | BM |  | 72\% | 69\% | G | The council has delayed the implementation of new telephony until summer 07 . New telephony service via Gedling One Stop should assist in improving service. Final result slightly below target but within margin of error (confidence interval $=4$ $5.58 \%$ ) | not collected | not collected | 72\% |
| Percentage of Councii Tax collected | BV 009* | FiN | FIN | RM | 97.50\% | 98.00\% | 98.29\% | G | Recommended adjustment of future targets | Cuftent <br> target <br> $985 \%$ <br> Proposed <br> Taiget <br> 99\%. | Cumeitrint <br> Tatgetsin <br> $98.5 \%$ <br> PLoposed <br> Targer <br> 995\% | 98.75\% |
| The percentage of business rates due for the financial year which were received by the authority | $8^{\text {BV 010* }}$ | FIN | FIN | FSM | 96.10\% | 98.50\% | 98.75\% | G | Recommended adjustment of future targets |  |  | 99.00\% |
| The rumber of housing benefit claimants in the local authority area visited, over 1000 caseload. | BV 076a | FiN | FiN | BM | 262 | 200 | 263 | G |  |  |  | $85 i n 2097$ |
| The number of fraud investigators employed by the local authority, per 1000 caseload. | BV 076b | FIN | FIN | BM | 0.3 | 0.29 | 0.31 | G |  | 0.29 | 0.3 | 0.3 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pertormainceindieator | Ref | Portiolio. | Bepll Sistiont | Eead effiser | Actual 2005005 | arget 2008107 |  | Status at 313.07 | COMMENTS |  | Target: 2008109 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kggekn } \\ & \text { 20gryous } \end{aligned}$ |
| The number of Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit (HB/CTB) prosecutions and sanctions, per year, per 1000 caseload, in the local authority area. | BV 076d | FIN | FIN | BM | 7.46 | 3 | 4.1 | G | Recommended adjustment of future targets |  |  | 6 |
| Average time for processing new claims | BV078a* | Fin | FIN | BM | $\begin{aligned} & 41.6 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | 38 days | $\begin{aligned} & 32.43 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | G | Recommended adjustment of future targets |  | Cunent <br> Target 29 days PG005ed target 2 git Tays $\square$ | 28 days |
| The percentage of cases for which the calculation of the | BV 079a* | FIN | FIN | BM | 96.20\% | 97.00\% | 97.20\% | G |  | 98.00\% | 98.4\% | 98.50\% |
| User satisfaction survey: contact access facilities at benefit office | BV 080a | FIN | FIN | BM |  | 80\% | 82\% | G |  | not coilected | not coilected | 82\% |
| User satisfaction survey: service in benefit | BV 080b | FIN | FIN | BM |  | 82\% | 83\% | $G$ |  | not collected | not collected | 83\% |
| User satisfaction survey: staff in benefit office | BV 080d | FIN | FIN | BM |  | 82\% | 83\% | G |  | not collected | not collected | 83\% |
| user satisfaction survey: time taken for a decision. | BV 080f | FlN | FIN | BM |  | 75\% | 75\% | G |  | not collected | not collected | 75\% |
| User satisfaction survey: overall satisfaction. | BV 080g | FIN | FIN | BM |  | 82\% | 82\% | G |  | not collected | not collected | 82\% |

CAPITAL OUTTURN 2006/2007

| Portrolio | scheme | PROJECT | ORIGINAL ESTIMATE 2006/07 £ | REVISED estimate 2006/067 $\varepsilon$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACTUAL } \\ \text { 2006/07 } \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CARRY } \\ & \text { FORWARD } \end{aligned}$ $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| finance | Aid to Parishes Cash Receipting System | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C22000 } \\ & \text { C22000 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.100 \\ & 30,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91,300 \\ & 30,000 \end{aligned}$ | 57,806 32,496 | 33,500 |

## FINANCE PORTFOLIO

## OUTTURN SUMMARY 2006/2007

|  | Current Approved Estimate 2006/2007 $£$ | Actual Expenditure 2006/2007 E | Revenue Carry Forwards $£$ | Variation To Estimate <br> $\varepsilon$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Finance Department Admin | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Financial Services | 0 | 0 | 11,400 | 11,400 |
| Corporate Finance | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Banking | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| One Stop Shop | 0 | 0 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Insurance Premiums | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Local Taxation | 702,200 | 572,307 |  | $(129,893)$ |
| Revenues - incomes | 30,900 | 4,896 |  | $(26,004)$ |
| Provisions | 19,500 | 150,176 |  | 130,676 |
| Policy Initiatives | 15,100 | 21,507 |  | 6,407 |
| Parish Aid | 273,500 | 249,719 |  | $(23,781)$ |
| Elderiy \& Disabled Welfare | 985,000 | 991,873 |  | 6,873 |
| Miscellaneous Policy Expenses | 27,600 | 30,133 |  | 2,533 |
| Financing Budgets | ( $1,526,100)$ | $(1,765,192)$ |  | $(239,092)$ |
| Central Provisions Account | 83,400 | 75,000 |  | $(8,400)$ |
| Central Linapportioned Overheads | 140,700 | $(216,635)$ |  | $(357,335)$ |
| Council Tax Benefit | 0 | 758 |  | 758 |
| Rent Allowances | 30,000 | 23,939 |  | $(6,061)$ |
| Rent Rebates | 11,000 | $(33,586)$ |  | $(44,586)$ |
| Housing Benefit Administration | 544,400 | 538,524 | 26,000 | 20,124 |
| TOTAL FINANCE | 1,337,200 | 643,419 | 38,600 | $(655,181)$ |

## The major variations other than those caused by FRS17 and Central Support are as follows:

## Financial Services

Savings on salaries and Consultancy Services that are both subject to carry forward requests.

## Banking

Savings on banking services as a result of cheques now being issued to landlords, rather than to individuals.
National Giro charges less than estimated.

## One Stop Shop

Reappraisal of Employee Costs offset by underspends within Local Tax.

## Insurance Premiums

Reduced premiums achieved and these have been reflected within individual Service Departments.

## Local Taxation

Reappraisal of Employee Costs offset by overspends efsewhere within Finance Portfolio. Increased Council Tax leaflets and change to colour printing has led to increased printing and postage costs. Additional income mainiy due to catch up on previous years Summonses and increased action on bankruptcies.

## Revenues - Incomes

Reappraisal of Employee Costs offset by underspends within Local Tax. Savings on postages and Financial Services offset by increased cost of Rushcliffe Debtors Service. Additional Summons Costs income due to catch up on previous year.

## Provisions

Increased contributions to Sundry Debtors Bad Debt Provisions. Increased Contribution to General Fund Balances in respect of additional LABGl and Performance Review Grants received. The saving on insurance premiums has been contributed to the Insurance Fund fowards a possible claim.

## Parish Aid

Mainly due to decreased Capital Financing Charges.

## Financing Budgets

Additional investment interest offset by additional interest paid on HRA balances.
Additional amortisation ( $£ 378,972$ ), mainly Leisure Services and associated deferred government grants charged to services, partially offset by additional interest paid to HRA on its negative capital financing.
Discount achieved from debt restructuring following guidance from Treasury Advisors.

## Central Unapportioned Overheads

FRS17 accounting entries are reversed out within appropriations and have a net nil effect on the General Fund.

## Council Tax Benefits

Establishment of a bad debts provision for IBS sundry debtors.

## Rent Allowances

Revised assumptions for outstanding adjustment required in respect of the 2005/06 claim
Establishment of a bad debts provision for IBS sundry debtors
An additional contribution of $£ 25,000$ has been made to the Benefits Reserve.

## Rent Rebates

Additional recoveries by way of weekly reclaims of benefit.

## Housing Benefit Admin

Reappraisal of Employee Costs offset by underspends in Council Tax.

| SUMMARY OF CAPITAL EXPENDITURE 2006/07 | £ | £ | £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Expenditure: Other services Housing TOTAL EXPENDITURE |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,366,248 \\ 4,895,530 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| VALUE REQUIRING CAPITAL FINANCING |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 8,261,778 \\ 8,261,778 \end{array}$ |
| RESOURCES APPLIED |  |  |  |
| Capital Grants Receivable: Disabled Facilities Grant |  | 242,352 |  |
| Contributions: <br> HORDS <br> GNP Funded Equipment <br> Public Service Agreement Reward Grant <br> LASHG <br> DEFRA Waste Performance \& Efficiency Grant <br> East Midlands Regional Housing Board <br> Netherfield Boys \& Girls Football Contribution <br> Football Foundation Grant, <br> RBC - Payroll implementation <br> Clli Creamer - Standhill Rec <br> NCC - Redhill Changing Rooms <br> NCC - Redhill Fencing <br> NCC - Garlton Forum | $\begin{array}{r} 28,000,00 \\ 191,635.00 \\ 96,015.50 \\ 776.00 \\ 45,662.01 \\ 188,307.77 \\ 10,000.00 \\ 185,000.00 \\ 53,509.05 \\ 3,000.00 \\ 9,766.00 \\ 30,000.00 \\ 55,000.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Total Money Provided by Other Persons |  | 896,671 | 1,139,023 |
| Major Repairs Allowance Housing Revenue Account Contribution General Fund Contribution | $\begin{array}{r} 2,013,607 \\ 490,000 \\ 121,600 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Total Direct Revenue Financing |  |  | 2,625,207 |
| Total Usabie Capital Receipts Applied |  |  | 978,148 |
| Total Prudential Borrowing Applied |  |  | 3,519,400 |
| TOTAL RESOURCES APPLIED IN THE YEAR |  |  | 8,2617778 |

## GENERAL FUND BALANCES AND TOTAL RESERVES

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Current } \\ \text { Estimate } \\ 2006 / 07 \\ £ 000 ' s \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Actual } \\ 2006 / 07 \\ \text { £000's } \end{array}$ | Variance <br> 2006/07 <br> £000's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund Balance at 1 April 2006 | 2,044 | 2,044 | 0 |
| Plus: |  |  |  |
| Collection Fund Demand | 13,115 | 13,115 | 0 |
| LABGI | 185 | 755 | 570 |
| DCLG Amending Reports | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| Performance Reward Grant | 85 | 96 | 11 |
| Parish Levy | 276 | 276 | 0 |
| Less: |  |  |  |
| Committee Expenditure |  |  |  |
| Parish Precepts | (276) | (276) | 0 |
| General Fund Balance at 31 March 2007 | 2,156 | 3,316 | 1,160 |
| Less Earmarked for Revenue Carry Forwards |  | (450) | (450) |
| "Available" General Fund Balance at 31 March 2007 | 2,156 | 2,866 | 710 |
| Insurance Reserve 625 |  |  |  |
| IT Equipment Replacement Reserve | 305 | 296 | (9) |
| Leasing Reserve | 98 | 98 | 0 |
| Building Control Reserve | 181 | 182 | 1 |
| Disabled Adaptations Reserve | 50 | 54 | 4 |
| Other Earmarked funds | 48 | 259 | 211 |
| Sub Total Earmarked Reserves | 1,307 | 1.529 | 222 |
| Total Reserves at 31 March 2007 | C3463 | $4 ; 395$ | $9 \text { 932 }$ |

## Members Pot Expenditure 2006/2007

|  | £ | $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B / F w d$ from 2005/06 <br> Budget 2006/07 | 13,300 |  |
|  | 50,000 |  |
|  |  | 63,300 |
| Less Total Expenditure |  | 54,900 |
| Balance Unspent |  | Prita 8 8,400 |
| No. of Councillors: |  |  |
| Spending their full allocation |  | 38 |
| Part spending their allocation |  |  |
| Not spending their allocation |  | 4 |

Grants \&

| Breakdown of expenditure by Key Priority : | Contributions £ | Works <br> £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Improve Community Safety | 1,400 | 12,700 |
| Develop facilities, activities \& safe environment for chiidren \& young people | 28,200 | 300 |
| Improve the Borough's appearance | 2,300 | 10,000 |
|  | 31,900 | 23,000 |

## Report to Cabinet

Proposed Change to Targets

Following discussion by Senior Management Team, it is recommended that the following changes to departmental performance indicators targets for $2007 / 08$ or 2008/9 are agreed.

## Cabinet Office

| PI reference | BV Local 01 | Pl title Percentage of local residents who <br> feel they are well informed about the <br> Council and its work |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for Change |
| $2007 / 08$ | $72 \%$ | $56 \%$ | To reflect amended <br> question format to <br> match national survey <br> requirement |
| $2008 / 09$ | $72.5 \%$ | To reflect amended <br> question format to <br> match national survey <br> requirement |  |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Pl } \\
\text { reference }\end{array} & \text { BV174 } & \text { PI Title } & \begin{array}{l}\text { The number of racial } \\
\text { incidents recorded by } \\
\text { authority per 100,000 } \\
\text { population }\end{array} \\
\hline & \text { Current Target } & \text { Proposed Target } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Reason for change } \\
\hline 2007 / 08 \\
8\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{l}\text { Number or incidents reported } \\
\text { through Common Monitoring } \\
\text { has increased in 2006/07 as a } \\
\text { result of training to i/d incidents } \\
\text { and more encouragement to } \\
\text { report. Since the Council } \\
\text { wishes to encourage reporting } \\
\text { of incidents that occur, it is felt }\end{array}
$$ <br>
target should be revised to <br>
reflect at least current levels of <br>

reporting.\end{array}\right]\)| As above |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2008 / 09$ | 8 |


| PI reference | BV127b | Pl Title | Robberies per year, per 1000 population in the Local Authority area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| 2007/08 | 0.7 per 1000 population | $1.9 \text { per } 1000$ population | Baseline indicator set for reductions agreed with partnership to reflect 20062007 outturn. Increase in robbery in past 24 months although previous baseline set following significant decreases in 2004-2005. (Total offences 2006-2007 241 of approx 11,000 crime across the Borough). |
| 2008/09 |  |  | Current Community Safety Strategy runs until March 2008, new strategy to be developed within the current year when new targets will be set. |


| PI <br> reference | BV128 | PI Title | Vehicle crimes per 1,000 <br> population |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | Current Target <br> pop per 1000 <br> population | Proposed Target <br> Reason for change <br> population |  |
| $2008 / 09$ |  | Baseline indicator set for <br> reductions agreed with <br> partnership to reflect 2006- <br> 2007 out turn. Decreases in <br> vehicle crime have been <br> recorded over the past 12 <br> months however baseline <br> originally set and measured <br> against could not be achieved <br> from the current outturn figures. <br> Theft from a vehicle remains to <br> be the area of concern for <br> vehicle crime. |  |
|  |  | 18.4 per 1000 | Current Community Safety <br> Strategy runs until March 2008, <br> new strategy to be developed <br> within the current year when <br> new targets will be set - this <br> target is provisional pending <br> review of Community Safety <br> Strategy during 2007/08 |


| PI <br> reference | BV126 | PI Title | Domestic burglaries per <br> 1,000 households. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | Current Target <br> households <br> hol | Proposed Target <br> Reason for change <br> households | Reaseline indicator set for <br> reductions agreed with <br> partnership to reflect 2006- <br> 2007 out turn. Increase in <br> dwelling burglary has been <br> recorded in the past 12 months <br> and baseline originally set and <br> measured against could not be <br> achieved from the current <br> outturn figures. |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ |  | 18.4 | Current Community Safety <br> Strategy runs until March 2008, <br> new strategy to be developed <br> within the current year when <br> new targets will be set - this <br> target is provisional pending <br> review of Community Safety <br> Strategy during 2007/08 |


| PI <br> reference | BV127a | PI Title | Violence against the person <br> per 1,000 population |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | Current Target <br> 10.3 per 1000 <br> population | Proposed Target <br> Reason for change <br> population | Baseline indicator set for <br> reductions agreed with <br> partnership to reflect 2006- <br> 2007 outturn. Increase in <br> violence in past 24 months <br> reffects multiple approaches to <br> seeking reductions but also <br> seeking increase reporting and <br> detections including for <br> domestic violence. |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ |  |  | Current Community Safety <br> Strategy runs until March 2008, <br> new strategy to be developed <br> within the current year when <br> new targets will be set. |

Direct Services

| PI <br> reference | BV089 | PI Title | Percentage of people <br> satisfied that the <br> authority has met their <br> duty to keep land and <br> highways for which the <br> authority is responsible <br> clear of litter and refuse |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $68.50 \%$ | $72 \%$ | To reflect and maintain <br> improved levels already <br> achieved |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $69.00 \%$ | $72 \%$ | To reflect and maintain <br> improved levels already <br> achieved |


| PI <br> reference | BV156 | Pl Title | Accessible buildings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| $2007 / 08$ | $72 \%$ | $84 \%$ | Speedier improvements |
| $2008 / 09$ | $75 \%$ | $93 \%$ | Ditto |


| Pl <br> reference | BV8̈2a2 | Pl Title | Tonnage of household <br> waste recycled |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2007 / 08$ | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| 12500 tonnes | 13750 tonnes | This is a very difficult target <br> to predict as it depends on <br> the number of properties <br> built each year. The <br> increase recognises extra <br> properties will increase <br> tonnage. |  |
| $2008 / 09$ | 13000 tonnes | 14000 tonnes | As above. |


| Pl <br> reference | BV84 | Pl Title | Kilograms of waste per <br> household |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2007 / 08$ | 380 kg | Current Target | Proposed Target |
| Reason for change |  |  |  |
| 400 kg | To reflect increased <br> projected number of single <br> person households, <br> possible increase in waste <br> generated by re- <br> introduction of weekly grey <br> bin collection in summer <br> months |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | 375 kg | 400 kg | As above |


| PI <br> reference | BV84b | PI Title | Kilograms of waste per <br> household decrease year <br> on year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ <br> $-2.56 \%$ |
| Again a difficult one to <br> predict as if people are <br> recycling more, especially <br> those joining our garden <br> waste scheme so the target <br> is unlikely to reduce much <br> now everyone is on twin <br> bin, unless manufacturers <br> etc start producing less <br> packaging. |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $-2.56 \%$ | 0 | As above |


| PI <br> reference | BV199a | PI Title | Significant or heavy litter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $11 \%$ | $8 \%$ | Improved for 06/07 |
| $2008 / 09$ | $11 \%$ | $8 \%$ |  |


| Pl <br> reference | BV199d | Pl Title | Significant or heavy litter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| $2008 / 09$ |  | $-5 \%$ reduction in <br> incidents and <br> increase total number <br> of enforcement <br> actions | To update the current <br> position |
|  | $-5 \%$ reduction in <br> incidents and <br> increase total number <br> of enforcement <br> actions | To update the current <br> position |  |


| Pl <br> reference | BV086 | PI Title | Cost of waste collection <br> per household |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change <br> $2007 / 08$ <br> $£ 40.00$Current year out turn <br> plus $£ 3$ |
| To reflect increased <br> projected number of single <br> person households |  |  |  |
| $2008 / 09$ | $£ 40.00$ | $2007 / 8$ out turn plus <br> $£ 3$ | To reflect increased <br> projected number of single <br> person households |

## Finance

| PI reference | BV79b1 | Pl Title | The amount of Housing Benefit overpayments $(H B)$ recovered during the period being reported on as a percentage of HB deemed recoverable over-payments during that period. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| 2007/08 | 80\% | 65\% | Realigned target reflecting 06/07 outturn |
| 2008/09 | 80\% | 70\% |  |
| Pl  <br> reference BV 79b2 |  | PI Title $\quad$HB over-payment <br> recovered during the <br> period as a percentage of <br> the total amount of HB <br> over-payment debt <br> outstanding as the start <br> of the period plus amount <br> of HB over-payment <br> identified during the <br> period. |  |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| 2007/08 | 50\% | 35\% | Realigned target reflecting 06/07 outturn |
| 2008/09 | 50\% | 45\% |  |


| Pl <br> reference | BV078a | Pl Title | Average time for <br> processing new claims |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| $2007 / 08$ | 35 days | 30 days | Improved performance <br> following introduction of new <br> IT systems and staffing <br> structure |
| $2008 / 09$ | 29 days | 29 days |  |


| PI <br> reference | BV0 | Pl Title |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| $2007 / 08$ | $£ 295,000$ | $£ 335,700$ | Budget amended |
| $2008 / 09$ |  |  |  |


| Pl <br> reference | BV 010 | PI Title | The percentage of <br> business rates dure for the <br> financial year which were <br> received by the Authority |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| $2007 / 08$ | 98.5 | 99.0 | Reflecting improved <br> performance |
| $2008 / 09$ | 98.5 | 99.0 |  |

Housing

| PI <br> reference | BV064 | Pl Title | The number of private <br> vacant dwellings that are <br> returned into occupation <br> or demolished as a direct <br> result of the local <br> authority. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2007 / 08$ | 1 | Current Target | Proposed Target |
| $2008 / 09$ | 1 | Reason for change |  |
|  | To reflect anticipated <br> improved performance |  |  |


| PJ <br> reference | BV184a | PI Title | The proportion of LA homes <br> which were non-decent at 1 <br> April 2007 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change <br> $2007 / 08$ <br> $10 \%$ |
| $2008 / 09$ | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | Targets revised following new <br> Stock Condition Survey |


| PI <br> reference | BV063 | Pl Title | Energy Efficiency - the local <br> average SAP rating of <br> authority owned dwellings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| $2007 / 08$ | 70 | 68 | SAP calculations and targets <br> altered by governing body |
| $2008 / 09$ | 72 | 69 | SAP calculations and targets <br> altered by governing body |


| Pl <br> reference | BV212 | Pl Title | Average time to re-let local <br> authority housing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| $2007 / 08$ | 28 days | 40 days | Due to difficult to let bed sit <br> accommodation and re- <br> modeling of sheltered schemes <br> there has been an impact on <br> overall figure. |
| $2008 / 09$ | 27 days | 40 days | Due to difficult to let bed sit <br> accommodation and re- <br> modeling of sheltered schemes <br> there has been an impact on <br> overall figure. |


| Pl <br> reference | BV66c | PI Title | Local Authority rent <br> collection and arrears <br> recover - Notices Seeking <br> Possession |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| $2007 / 08$ | $7.44 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | No. of temporary tenancies <br> compared to secure has greatly <br> reduced leading to an increase <br> in Notices Seeking Possession <br> (NSPs) rather than Notices to <br> Quit (NTQs). |
| $2008 / 09$ | $7.43 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | No. of temporary tenancies <br> compared to secure has greatly <br> reduced leading to an increase <br> in Notices Seeking Possession <br> (NSPs) rather than Notices to <br> Quit (NTQs). |


| PI <br> reference | Local 09 | PI Title | The percentage of urgent <br> repairs completed within <br> Government time limits |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| $2007 / 08$ | $97.0 \%$ | $99 \%$ | To reflect improved <br> performance |
| $2008 / 09$ | $97.5 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |


| PI <br> reference | Local 11 | PI Title | The percentage of all repairs <br> completed within target. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |$|$| To reflect improved |
| :--- |
| performance |


| PI <br> reference | Local 05 | Pi Title |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Local 005 | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| $2007 / 08$ | 1.46 | 1.75 | Target was 1.47, progress 1.81 <br> linked to a slight drop in <br> collection rates, however <br> performance compares well to <br> top quartile. |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | 1.45 | 1.7 |  |


| PI <br> reference | Local 06 | PI Title |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Local 000 | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for change |
| $2007 / 08$ | $98.5 \%$ | $95 \%$ | More complex enquiries very <br> difficult to determine within 33 <br> days and often depends on <br> information from 3 3 parties. <br> Equally important that the right <br> decision is made. |
| $2008 / 09$ | $98.6 \%$ | $95.5 \%$ |  |

## Personnel

| Pl reference | BV012 | Pl title | Days lost through <br> sickness |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2007 / 08$ | 8.9 | Proposed Target | Reason for Change |
|  |  | Improvements are being <br> seen, but in the light of <br> experience a reduction <br> of 0.5 days per year is <br> more realistic. <br> (9.6 is the median for <br> districts from the 04/05 <br> BV results) |  |
| $2008 / 09$ | 8.8 | As above (lower quartile <br> is 8.5) |  |


| Pl reference | BV011b | Pl title | The percentage of the <br> top 5\% local authority <br> staff who are from an <br> ethnic minority |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Target | Proposed Target | Reason for Change |
| $2007 / 08$ | $4 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | To reflect ethnic minority <br> population in the <br> Borough |
| $2008 / 09$ |  |  | Bol |

