



Report to Cabinet

Subject Gedling Community Safety Strategy 2005-2008

Date 3rd March 2005

Author Chief Executive

1. Purpose of the Report

To gain approval for the content, including specific reduction targets, for the Gedling Community Safety Strategy 2005-2008.

2. Background

Gedling Community Safety Partnership is required by law to carry out an audit of crime, disorder and drugs in the Borough every 3 years. Once completed, the information provided and consulted upon with the wider community is used to develop and produce the Crime, Disorder and Drugs Strategy for 2005-2008.

The Community Safety Partnership are currently developing the Delivery Plan for 2005-2006. This document will reflect the approach and accountability of each member of the partnership to develop, deliver and performance manage targeted interventions aimed at reducing crime, disorder and drug related offending in line with the agreed partnership priorities.

3. Proposal

Cabinet are asked to consider the content of the Strategy document and the targets proposed. Comments and recommendations made by Cabinet can be passed to the Portfolio Holder or the Community Partnerships Manager.

Formal approval for the adoption of the Strategy will be sought from the Community Safety Partnership Strategic Group before seeking Full Council ratification on 16th March 2005.

4. Resource Implications

No additional resource implications. The delivery of Strategy and associated Delivery Plans will be met from approved council budgets and through external funding streams for both general community safety interventions and specific projects.

5. Recommendation

Cabinet to consider the Strategy and feedback any comments to the Community Safety Partnership via the aforementioned routes.

Gedling Community Safety Partnership

Crime, Disorder and Drugs Strategy 2005-2008





Acknowledgements

Gedling Community Safety Partnership would like to acknowledge the support of partners in the carrying out of the Crime, Disorder and Drugs Audit and the completion of the Strategy.

Gedling Borough Council

Nottinghamshire Police

Nottinghamshire Police Authority

Nottinghamshire County Council Regeneration

Division, Culture and Community, Young People's
Division and Youth Offending Service

Nottinghamshire Fire & Rescue Service

Gedling Primary Care Trust

Nottinghamshire County Drug and Alcohol Action
Team (DAAT)

Gedling Council for Voluntary Services

Arnold Association of Neighbourhood Watch

Victim Support Nottinghamshire

1 Introduction

Partnership Vision: To Work Together to Make the Borough a Safer Place

This document is the third Community Safety Partnership Crime, Disorder and Drugs Strategy. It sets out what the Partnership want to achieve to make the Borough of Gedling a safer place over the next 3 years. It outlines the Partnership's Priorities and provides more detailed targets against these priorities during this period. Each local Community Safety Partnership is required by law to carry out an audit of crime and disorder and develop strategies to address identified issues every three years. In previous years the Partnership has focused upon crime and disorder, however in the development of the Strategy 2005-2008 a full audit of drug and alcohol issues has also been undertaken. Further it is also required that the partnership develop a strategy to address anti-social behaviour.

Both the development of Gedling Community Safety Partnership and the provision of the Crime, Disorder and Drugs Strategy 2005-2008 arose from statutory requirements under the Crime and Disorder Act, (1998). A partnership approach to crime reduction and community safety has existed in Gedling for over twelve years. The major partners, (Gedling Borough Council, Nottinghamshire Police and Nottinghamshire County Council, Gedling Primary Care Trust, Nottinghamshire Police Authority and Nottinghamshire Fire & Rescue Service), have consistently worked together to share ideas and good practice, working with other agencies and members of the wider partnership which include Nottinghamshire Drug and Alcohol Action Team, Youth Offending Service, Youth Services, Probation and local community organisations.

It is clear that the responsibility to develop a Crime and Disorder Strategy is wide ranging and requires a structured approach with commitment from all partners and stakeholders. However, the responsibility of the Partnership does not end there. Within the Gedling Community Safety Partnership a structure has been established that is designed to enable the Partnership to develop and implement initiatives which will secure achievement of the strategic objectives and targets. Further, the delivery of the Strategy is key addressing the Safer Communities element of the Local Area Agreement.

2 Gedling Borough Contextual Information


- The Borough was formed in 1974 from the former Urban Districts of Arnold and Carlton and part of the Basford Rural District.
- It covers an area of 46.3 square miles (120 sq km) at the heart of Nottinghamshire.
- The Borough is mainly residential, serving the Greater Nottingham conurbation, but with a broad industrial and commercial base including manufacturing, retailing, distribution and public services. Its industrial heritage based on textiles, coal and railways has now all but disappeared. There is a significant net employment outflow to neighbouring areas.
- Arnold and Carlton (including Gedling and Netherfield) are the main urban areas, adjoining the City of Nottingham. Over 75% of the Borough's population live here.
- 10 rural parishes stretch towards Sherwood Forest in the north and the River Trent to the south-east.
- Its population increased by 1.2% between 1991 and 2001 to 111,787. The Office of National Statistics (ONS) predictions suggest population will fall by 6.9% between 2001 and 2011, but the number of households is predicted to increase by almost 6% over the same period, presenting significant service delivery challenges.
- The working age population, at 61%, is consistent with regional and national figures. Pensionable age population is slightly higher than average (19.6% vs. 18.6% regionally and 18.4% nationally) while 0-15 year old population is slightly lower than average.
- 6% of the population come from black and minority ethnic (BME) backgrounds. The BME population is spread throughout the Borough – there are no distinct geographical BME communities
- The Borough is relatively affluent overall, ranking 197 of 354¹ districts nationally on the Index of Multiple Deprivation (where 1 = most deprived), None of the Borough's wards fall within the 10% most deprived nationally, though 17% are in the most deprived 25%. There are pockets of more severe deprivation, often at sub-ward level.

¹ ODPM Indices of Multiple Deprivation – 2000 – based on ward boundaries pre 2002 review

GEDLING COMMUNITY SAFETY STRATEGY 2005-2008 CONFIDENTIAL - DRAFT 2, FEBRUARY 2005

- 81% of homes are owner-occupied, the highest figure in Nottinghamshire. This is well above regional and national averages. Less than 8% of the population now live in council-owned accommodation.
- Borough unemployment is consistently below regional and national averages, but rates between wards vary markedly. The current overall figure is 1.7%, compared to 2.5% nationally, 2.2% for the East Midlands region and 2.4% for Nottinghamshire (April 2004 figures). Average pay is low, compared with regional and national figures.
- Health inequality is an issue. Infant mortality is significantly higher than the regional or national average, whilst half of the Borough's wards have higher than expected hospital admissions for cancer under age 65 and almost 2/3 of wards have higher than expected cancer deaths. Two wards have recently been identified as having amongst the highest rates for teenage conception in Greater Nottingham.
- Recorded crime in the Borough is comparatively high and has been throughout the 1990s, though most recent trends are downwards. Fear of crime is also problematic and higher than absolute crime figures might justify.

3 Gedling Community Safety Partnership Structure



Gedling Partnership

Gedling Partnership (Local Strategic Partnership) has the role of co-ordinating strategic partnership working in the Borough. It produces the Gedling Community Strategy, a document which sets the Key Strategic Priorities for partnership working in Gedling. A review of this strategy is currently taking place which will be completed by the end of 2005. The Gedling Partnership Board meets quarterly and leads on setting the key priority themes the Partnership seeks to deliver. A smaller Executive Group works on behalf of the Board to form the link between the Board and the partnership theme groups working in the Borough, such as the Community Safety Partnership and the Gedling Health and Social Well-being Partnership. The Executive also has responsibility for monitoring the Partnership's work.

The Strategic Group

This meets quarterly and its members are representatives of the key agencies and organisations involved in community safety working in the Borough. The development of the Strategy, target setting, partnership performance monitoring and agreeing the Annual Delivery Plans (how we intend to achieve the targets), are its main functions in support of the wider partnership. This group comes under the umbrella of the Gedling Partnership.

Councillor Involvement

Over the past three years Gedling Borough Council's Cabinet have taken an active role in supporting and monitoring the work of the Community Safety Partnership. The Portfolio Holder with responsibility for Community Safety is the current Chair of both the Strategic Group and the Gedling Partnership.

Tactical Group

This group meets monthly with membership drawn from a wide range of partners. The role of the group is to develop the yearly Delivery Plan, monitor progress of, and assess the success of, projects and initiatives and report the findings to the Strategic Group.

Wider Partnership

Partners work alongside the members of the Tactical Group in support of the strategic objectives and targets. Task Groups may be formed with appropriate membership derived from the wider partnership membership to implement locally focused responses to community crime and disorder issues in identified crime and disorder hot spots.

Anti-Social Behaviour Problem Solving Group

The group meets monthly and involves Gedling Borough Council officers and the two Nottinghamshire Police Local Area Commanders based at Arnold and Carlton. Its focus is to co-ordinate the Partnership response to community and organisational concerns about anti-social behaviour. This group liaises with the other groups and partnership members to share information and seek solutions to issues using the Problem Solving Approach.

4 Targets Setting Context

Local Area Agreements - Improving Local Delivery in partnership

In the 'Local Area Agreements' prospectus, published in July by the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister (ODPM), the Government outlined the approach it would take to building a more flexible and responsive relationship between central government and a locality on the priority outcomes that need to be achieved at local level. Developing these Local Area Agreements (LAAs) will require a significant shift in the way in which central and local government relate to each other and to other local partners. The proposals set out in the prospectus outline new arrangements to

- develop and deliver a range of locally agreed outcomes
- bring together and simplify funding streams
- devolve decision making; reduce bureaucracy and
- join up public services

Central to the proposals is the formation of 'The Safer and Stronger Communities Fund' (SSCF). This merges several existing ODPM and Home Office (HO) funding streams, which share a number of closely related objectives. These are aimed at tackling crime, reducing the harm caused by illegal drugs, addressing anti-social behaviour, improving the poor condition of streets and other public spaces; in particular for deprived neighbourhoods where these issues are often more prevalent.

Home Office Outcomes- Crime, Drugs and Anti-Social Behaviour outcomes

The overarching Home Office outcome is to reduce crime, to reassure the public by reducing the fear of crime and anti-social behaviour and the harm caused by illegal drugs. This links to the delivery of Home Office Public Service Agreements 1, 2 and 4.

The crime reduction element of this outcome has a relationship to Home Office PSA 1 (to reduce crime by 15% and further in high crime areas). All Government Offices will negotiate a local PSA1 target with each crime and drugs partnership, on which separate guidance has been provided. The Partnership is expected to use this as their crime target for the SSCF, although other outcomes/targets contained in the crime and disorder and drugs strategy and the community consultation carried out during this process will inform the SSCF outcomes. The local outcomes and performance indicators for the SSCF will need to reflect these targets. Local outcomes should also be set in the context of any other local work to reduce crime, not resourced by the SSCF.

Reducing the harm caused by illegal drugs and the perception of anti-social behaviour are desirable outcomes in their own right. Therefore mandatory indicators are also being set for both of these, for which targets will need to be negotiated. Further information is being provided to Government Offices on how the targets around drugs and anti-social behaviour should be set and measured.

5 Summary Of Audit and Consultation Findings

In June 2004 Gedling Community Safety Partnership began to gather a wide range of data from various partner agencies. The data provision covered the periods 2001-2002 to 2003-2004. This was analysed at both Borough and Ward level and where appropriate sub ward level. The resulting documents, a Broad and Shallow Audit (providing the overview of the crime, disorder and drug issues across the Borough) and a Narrow and Deep Audit (providing more detailed analysis for key partners) have formed the baseline for identifying priorities and targets for 2005-2008. Gedling Community Safety Partnership also carried out an extensive programme of consultation with residents and partners across the Borough. The following summarises the key findings from the Audit and Consultation processes.

Recorded Crime and Anti-social Behaviour

Overall Crime	2002 – 2003; 5.4% increase on previous year 2003 – 2004; 2.6% decrease on previous year Overall increase in all crime during reporting period 2.6%
Vehicle Crime	Overall increase of 6.6% reflected in 2003-2004 out turn figures compared to 2001-2003 out turn
Dwelling Burglary	Overall increase of 33% reflected in 2003-2004 out turn figures compared to 2001-2003 out turn
Violent Crime	Overall increase of 23.9% reflected in 2003-2004 out turn figures compared to 2001-2003 out turn
Robbery	Overall decrease of 16%% reflected in 2003-2004 out turn figures compared to 2001-2003 out turn
Criminal Damage	Overall increase of 2.4% reflected in 2003-2004 out turn figures compared to 2001-2003 out turn

- Recorded Domestic Violence has increased in the reporting period during which the partnership has sought to develop more accurate reporting mechanisms in line with the aims of the 2002-2005 Strategy.
- Recorded Anti-social Behaviour has increased in the reporting period during which the partnership has sought to develop more accurate reporting mechanisms in line with the aims of the 2002-2005 Strategy.

Geographical Hot Spots

Analysis of data has identified not only trends in high volume offences and anti-social behaviour but also “hot spots”. “Hot spots” are those areas where over the period of reporting, the area has been consistently identified in the top 5 wards or sub wards for reported crime and anti-social behaviour. The top 5 hot spots are;

- Bonington Ward – sub ward area of Warren Hill
- Daybrook Ward – sub ward of Oxclose Lane area
- Netherfield and Colwick – sub ward of Netherfield Victoria Road and surrounding streets
- Arnold Town Centre
- Carlton Hill – Honeywood Gardens and Carlton Hill shopping area

Anti-social Behaviour

Levels of recorded anti-social behaviour (recorded by the Police as incidents) have risen significantly during the reporting period reflecting a greater emphasis on gathering and acting upon community intelligence over the last 3 years. The links between levels of anti-social behaviour and the fear of crime generally have been a consistent factor in all aspects of consultation. Types of incidents include young people in groups, noise, abandoned vehicles, under age drinking and improper use of scooters and other motorised vehicles. In areas of the Borough, the range of issues has also contributed to a significant increase in the problems associated with footpaths.

Fear Of Crime

During spring 2004 the Nottinghamshire Police Fear of Crime Survey highlighted that despite decreasing levels of crime across Nottinghamshire as well as in Gedling, fear of crime was still increasing. The key issues to highlight in respect of Gedling Borough are;

- The percentage of residents who feel safe when out after dark has decreased in which reasons of lack of police presence and groups of young people were of the highest concern
- A significant increase in the number of people reporting a fear of crime in their everyday lives

The top 5 overall reasons for fear of crime were;

- Intimidating gatherings of young people in public places
- Nuisance from vehicles
- Damage to property
- Riding/cycling on footpaths and overall level of crime
- Criminal behaviour

Consultation

Gedling Community Safety Partnership undertook an extensive programme of community consultation across the Borough utilising the data provided within the Broad & Shallow Audit of Crime, Disorder and Drugs. A total of 11 events were held in addition to road shows, displays and public meetings. The events brought up a wide range of issues including;

- specific crime types
- anti-social behaviour
- concerns over drug related offending

Further concerns were raised relating to the wider community safety agenda including;

- speeding vehicles
- access to services
- support for victims of crime

Some of the issues raised were consistent in all areas of the Borough and these are detailed below;

- **High volume crime**, (dwelling burglary and vehicle crime) has reduced over the past 12-18 months.
- **Fear of crime** is high and disproportionate to the levels of actual crime.
- **Fear of Crime** is more associated with young people, scooters, groups hanging around etc.
- **Physical Environmental Safety** issues a problem; e.g. fly tipping, dog fouling etc.
- There is a need for more **facilities for young people**
- More **visible patrols** are required.

6 Partnership Priorities 2005-2008

Gedling Community Safety Partnership will work together to reduce;

- **The overall level crime**
- **The level of anti-social behaviour**
- **Fear of crime**
- **Drug related crime**

We will work in partnership to deliver;

Crime Prevention by;

Problem Solving

Data gathering; use of agency and community intelligence on drugs and crime

Access to treatment services for those involved in substance use

Targeting persistent offenders through the Prolific and Other Priority Offenders Strategy for Nottinghamshire

Effective use of CCTV

Home visits and assessments

Provision of diversionary activities for young people

Enforcement by;

Acting on agency and community intelligence e.g. Rat on a Rat Campaign to reduce the number of offences committed to fund drug use, that is, "to break the link between drugs and crime"

Targeting persistent offenders through the Prolific and Other Priority Offenders Strategy for Nottinghamshire

Targeting geographical hot spots of crime and anti-social behaviour

Targeting prolific offenders of crime and anti-social behaviour

Tackling alcohol related offending

Tackling Domestic Violence

Effective use of CCTV

Tackling witness intimidation

Communication/education by;

Promote community crime prevention

To provide support and advice for victims of crime to prevent repeat victimisation

Provide reassurance through publicity campaigns

Raise awareness of support groups

Promote Citizenship in Education

7 Partnership Resources

Budgets/Funding

Funding Source	Level Of Finance Commitment	Accountable Body	Mainstreamed
Safe and Secure Communities Initiative Home Office Funding	£154,775 – Safer and Stronger Communities Fund (SSCF) £25,000 – ASB Co-ordinator	Gedling Borough Council	No
Local Community Safety Council Funding	£38,000 Community Safety Budget £10,000 CCTV Operator	Gedling Borough Council	Yes
Neighbourhood Wardens Scheme	£115,000	Gedling Borough Council	Yes
Benefit Fraud Officers Council Funding	£68,800	Gedling Borough Council	Yes
Police Community Support Officers Basic Command Unit and Home Office Funding	£140,000	Nottinghamshire Police – D Division	No
Additional Police Community Support Officers – Gedling Borough Council Funding	£140,000	Gedling Borough Council	No
Nottinghamshire Drug & Alcohol Action Team (DAAT); mainstreamed funding for provision of drug services in Gedling	£310,000	Nottinghamshire Drug and Alcohol Action Team (DAAT)	Yes
Nottinghamshire Drug & Alcohol Action Team (DAAT); mainstreamed funding for provision of drug services Young People	£90,500	Nottinghamshire Drug and Alcohol Action Team (DAAT)	Yes
Commitment to Partnership Co-ordinator Nottinghamshire county Council	£15,000	Gedling Borough Council	Yes
Commitment to Partnership Co-ordinator Nottinghamshire Police	£6,000		

Cont'd.....

GEDLING COMMUNITY SAFETY STRATEGY 2005-2008 CONFIDENTIAL - DRAFT 2, FEBRUARY 2005

Nottinghamshire County Council – Community Safety, Young Persons Division, Education, Social Services Youth Offending Service, Culture and Community	£160,000	Nottinghamshire County Council	Yes
--	----------	--------------------------------	-----

Personnel Resources

Partner Agency	Position	Percentage Time – Crime / Community Safety
Gedling Borough Council	1 Community Partnerships Manager 2 Community Projects Officers 1 Crime Reduction Assistant 1 Town Centre Manager 1 Anti-social Behaviour Co-ordinator 4 Neighbourhood Wardens	60% 50% 100% 50% 100% 100%
Nottinghamshire Police	2 Local Area Commanders 2 Beat Manager Sergeants 12 Beat Managers 12 Police Community Support Officers 1 Partnerships Inspector Police Divisional Operational staff	100% 100% 100% 100% 30% (shared with Broxtowe and Rushcliffe) 100%
Gedling Primary Care Trust	Partnership Development Co-ordinator	20%
Nottinghamshire Fire Service	Partnership / Performance Manager	30%
Nottinghamshire County Council	Community Safety Young Persons Division Education Social Services Youth Offending Service Culture and Community	20% 100% 20% 20% 100% 30%

Other partnership involvement includes specialist roles within various agencies and partner agency departments including Gedling BC Leisure, Direct Services and Housing, Probation Service, Victim Support, Gedling Council for Voluntary Services and Neighbourhood Watch.

8 Performance Management and Delivery Framework


How We Measure Our Success


The Partnership is committed to continuous improvement through effective monitoring of project outputs and outcomes at an operational and strategic level and has long recognised the importance of effective performance management. Over recent months the Partnership has developed a more robust framework linking more closely the work of the Community Safety Partnership within the Gedling Partnership, (LSP). The Performance Management Framework is based on good practice guidance from the Audit Commission and key components include: -

- Clearly stated vision and priorities, developed in consultation with the community.
- A single, co-ordinated budget process and project planning process, incorporating improvement planning and focused on delivery of Priorities.
- Full integration of statutory Best Value requirements in this framework – for example target setting against national performance indicators.
- Incorporation of Best Value principles and an improvement focus in day-to-day management.
- Use of Self Assessment tools
- Robust target setting against national and local performance indicators, with local indicators developed to reflect the Partnership's key priorities.
- Standard format Project Plans with key milestones and outcome indicators for all key improvement tasks.
- Monthly monitoring of progress against delivery indicators acting as an “early warning” system.
- Formal quarterly reporting to Chief Officers of the Partnership with the opportunity to take formal remedial action where needed.
- Sharing and cascading key performance information to all stakeholders and residents using appropriate media.

The role of Gedling Partnership (LSP) is to set the ongoing strategic priorities, aims and objective and linking together the work of the Community Safety Partnership with that of other Theme Groups of the Gedling Partnership. The Community Safety Partnership Strategic Group will seek to ensure that Gedling Partnership Aims and Objectives and resulting projects are effectively monitored and evaluated. The Tactical Group are responsible for the effective delivery (utilising the SARA Model to identify rising community safety issues) of projects and will have day to day responsibility for effective monitoring of performance outputs and outcomes.

Full use will be made of the JIN Project (JUPITER in Nottinghamshire) and other IT tools within the respective partnership agencies and organisations. This is an IT tool allowing the overlay of a wide range of relevant data from partner organisations which supports monitoring and evaluation.





Partnership Targets 2005-2008

Strategic Priority	Strategic Aim	Objective	Performance Measure	Baseline
1 To reduce the level of All Crime in Gedling Borough	Overall Violent Crime Reduction	To reduce the level of Violent Crime by 10% during 2005-2006 and by 20% by March 2008	Police recorded crime figures; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Common Assault • Wounding • ABH • Robbery • Hate violence • Racial violence 	2004 – 2005 Year end figures
	Robbery Reduction	To reduce the level of Robbery by 5% during 2005-2006 and by 10% by March 2008	Police recorded crime figures	2004 – 2005 Year end figures
	Domestic Violence	To reduce the level of Domestic Violence by 10% during 2005-2006 and by 20% by March 2008	Police recorded crime and incident figures for; Domestic Violence Domestic Incidents	2004 – 2005 Year end figures
	Hate Crime	To reduce the level of Hate Crime by 7% during 2005-2006 and by 15% by March 2008	Police recorded crime figures; Racially motivated incidents Homophobic Violence	2004 – 2005 Year end figures
	Criminal Damage Reduction	To reduce the level of Criminal Damage by 10% during 2005-2006 and by 20% by March 2008	Police recorded crime figures	2004 – 2005 Year end figures
Cont'd.....				

Partnership Targets 2005-2008

Strategic Priority	Strategic Aim	Objective	Performance Measure	Baseline
1 To reduce the level of All Crime in Gedling Borough	Business Crime	To reduce the level of Business Crime by 8% during 2005-2006 and by 20% by March 2008	Police recorded crime figures; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commercial Burglary • Shop theft • Fraud and Forgery • Criminal Damage 	2004 – 2005 Year end figures
	Young People and Crime	To reduce the number of first time young offenders by 10% during 2005–2006 and by 20% by March 2008 To reduce repeat offending by young people by 10% by March 2006 and by 15% by March 2008	Police recorded youth offending data Referrals to Youth offending Service	2004 year end figures

Strategic Priority	Strategic Aim	Objective	Performance Measure	Baseline
2 To reduce the level of Anti-social Behaviour in Gedling Borough	Overall Anti-social Behaviour	To reduce the number of incidents reported to the Police and Gedling Borough Council and Partners by 10% during 2005-2006 and by 20% by March 2008	Police recorded incident figures Police criminal damage figures Gedling BC anti-social behaviour reports e.g. graffiti, abandoned vehicles	2004 – 2005 Year end figures
	Anti-social Behaviour and young people	To reduce incidents of anti-social behaviour involving young people by 10% during 2005-2006 and by 20% by March 2008	Police recorded incident figures Police Youth Offending data Gedling BC anti-social behaviour reports e.g. graffiti, abandoned vehicles	2004-2005 Year end figures

Cont'd.....

Partnership Targets 2005-2008

Strategic Priority	Strategic Aim	Objective	Performance Measure	Baseline
2 To reduce the level of Anti-social Behaviour in Gedling Borough	Arson reduction	To reduce the number of arsons to which the Fire Service respond by 5% in 2005/2006 and by 10% by March 2008	Police recorded crime and incident data Fire Service attendance data	2004-2005 Year-end figures
	Firework related anti-social behaviour	To reduce the number of firework related incidents by 10% for the last quarter of 2005 compared to 2004 and by 20% by the last quarter of 2008	Police recorded incident figures Fire Service data	December 2004 figures

Strategic Priority	Strategic Aim	Objective	Performance Measure	Baseline
3 To reduce the level of Fear of Crime in the community	Feeling safe after dark	To increase the amount of residents who feel very safe or fairly safe when out at night to 65% during 2005–2006 and to 70% by March 2008	Fear of Crime Survey 2006 Local community perception surveys Annual Satisfaction Survey; abandoned vehicles, fly tipping, graffiti	2004 Fear of Crime Survey
	Feeling safe in daylight hours	To increase the amount of residents who feel very safe or fairly safe when out during the day to 94% during 2005–2006 and to 95% by March 2008	Fear of Crime Survey 2006 Local community perception surveys Annual Satisfaction Survey; abandoned vehicles, fly tipping, graffiti	2004 Fear of Crime Survey

Partnership Targets 2005-2008

Strategic Priority	Strategic Aim	Objective	Performance Measure	Baseline
4 Drug related crime Supporting Communities; To reduce the harm that drugs cause to communities, individuals and their families.	Supporting Communities	To reduce acquisitive crime by 50% by 31 March 2006	Drug Intervention Programme Performance Indicators; DIP KPI 1 DIP KPI 2 DIP KPI 3 DIP KPI 4	Nottinghamshire County Drug and Alcohol Action Team 2004 – 2005 Key Performance Indicators
	Supporting Young People	To reduce the use of Class A drugs, and the frequent use of any illicit drug, among all young people under the age of 25	Young Persons Key Performance Indicators; YP KPI 1 YP KPI 1b YP KPI 1c YP KPI 1d YP KPI 1e YP KPI 3a YP KPI 3d	Nottinghamshire County Drug and Alcohol Action Team 2004 – 2005 Key Performance Indicators
	Reducing Supply and Availability	To reduce supply of drugs on our streets	Reducing Supply Key Performance Indicators; related to the number of seizures, measured via the number of people testing positive on arrest	Nottinghamshire County Drug and Alcohol Action Team 2004 – 2005 Key Performance Indicators
	Access to Treatment Services	To enable people with drug problems to overcome them and live healthy and crime-free lives through; T KPI 1; 100% increase in problem users in treatment T KPI 2; 57% of problem drug users sustaining or successfully completing treatment programmes	Treatment Key Performance Indicators; T KPI 1; by 2008, 1998 baseline T KPI 2; by 2008	Nottinghamshire County Drug and Alcohol Action Team 2004 – 2005 Key Performance Indicators

APPENDICIES

Appendix 1 – Related Strategies

Gedling Community Strategy

The National Policing Plan

Nottinghamshire Police Annual Plan (locally; South Nottinghamshire Business Plan)

Nottinghamshire Prolific and Other Priority Offenders Strategy

Nottinghamshire County Anti-social Behaviour Strategy

Gedling Borough Housing Strategy

Nottinghamshire County DAAT 'Drugs and Alcohol' Strategy: 2005-2008

Nottinghamshire County DAAT 'Drugs and Alcohol' Action Plan for Gedling: 2005/06

The Gedling Replacement Local Plan

Gedling Borough ICT Strategy 2005-2008

Leisure and Community Facilities Strategy

Gedling Arts Strategy

Gedling Children's Play Area Strategy

Gedling Playing Pitch and Non -Pitch Strategy

Gedling Sports Strategy